



Paolo Baggia Loquendo

Workshop on Internationalizing SSML III Hyderabad, India – 3 Jan 2007

Pronunciation Lexicon Background

Outline

- Brief Introduction on Pronunciation Lexicon Specification
- Common Use Cases
 - Homographs solution
- Other relevant issues for the workshop



Why Pronunciation Lexicon Specification?

Address most common cases of pronunciation customization

Enrich TTS and ASR with customized pronunciations

Complete the "Speech Interface Framework"

→ Read the specification at:

http://www.w3.org/TR/pronunciation-lexicon/



What PLS 1.0 is Not!

- Multilingual pronunciation lexicon
 - → the current specification is mono-lingual!
- Advanced features
 - → no syntax, no semantics, no morphology, no compound words
- TTS-internal lexicon
 - → too complex and rich of custom knowledge

PLS 1.0 is restricted to the most important and tractable issues.



The PLS 1.0 Language

PLS is an XML language

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
```

- The root element is <lexicon>,
 with attributes version, xmlns, alphabet, xml:lang
- It contains a collection <lexeme>s, which are composed of:
 - <meta> and <metadata> for metadata
 - <grapheme>s for orthographies/spellings
 - <phoneme>s for pronunciations
 - <alias>s for textual substitutions
 - <example>s for examples
 - → The order of <lexeme>s is relevant to determine the preferred pronunciation for TTS



PLS Common Use Cases

Multiple pronunciations

- For ASR: to accommodate speaker/regional variability, not native speakers
- For TTS: a single preferred pronunciation will be selected
- Multiple orthographies (with same pronunciations)
 - Useful for both ASR & TTS
- Homophones (same pronunciations, different meanings)
 - Different <lexeme>s with same or overlapping <phoneme>s
- Homographs (same spellings, different pronunciations)
 - This is hard! How to differentiate <lexeme>s with same <grapheme>s



Homographs Proposed Solution

- New "role" attribute on <lexeme> elements
 <lexeme role="value"/>
- Values are QNames (qualified names, with a namespace)
 e.g. "myvocabulary:verb", "wordnet:verb", "claws:VV1"
- Open to future standardization
 - → both proprietary values and if future standard ones
- More than one QName for a single <lexeme> entry
 e.g. role="w:verb w:past-tense"



Example of Homographs in PLS

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<lexicon version="1.0"</pre>
    xmlns=http://www.w3.org/2005/pronunciation-lexicon
    xmlns:claws="http://www.example.com/claws7tags"
    alphabet="ipa" xml:lang="en-GB">
 <lexeme role="claws:VVI claws:VV0 claws:NN1">
    <!-- verb infinitive, verb present tense, singular noun -->
   <grapheme>read
   <phoneme>ri:d</phoneme>
 <le><lexeme>
 <lexeme role="claws:VVN claws:VVD">
    <!-- verb past participle, verb past tense -->
   <grapheme>read
    <phoneme>red</phoneme>
 <le><lexeme>
</lexicon>
```

"Can you read this book to me?"
"I already read it three times!"



SSML 1.1 Includes the role Attribute

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<speak version="1.1"</pre>
  xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/synthesis"
  xmlns:claws="http://www.example.com/claws7tags"
  xml:lang="en-US">
  <lexicon uri="http://www.example.com/example.pls">
  <voice gender="female" age="3">
    Can you <w role="claws:VVI">read</w> this book to me?
  </voice>
  <voice gender="male" age="44">
    I've already <w role="claws:VVN">read</w> it three times!
  </voice>
</speak>
```

... SRGS should be extended too!



Another Example from SSML 1.1

• See second example of SSML 1.1 Section 3.1.8

http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/WD-speech-synthesis11-20070110/#S3.1.8



Other Issues for the Workshop

- Lexicon selection criteria in SSML 1.1
- Allow other phonetic alphabets?
 - → Current PLS 1.0:
 - → mandates the use of IPA (International Pronunciation Alphabet) alphabet="ipa"
 - → allow proprietary phonetic alphabets)
 alphabet="x-organization-alphabet"
 - → SSML 1.1 is proposing a IANA registry for alternate pronunciation alphabets
- Other issues?

