



---

*Special Committee on foreign interference in all democratic processes in the European Union, including disinformation, and the strengthening of integrity, transparency and accountability in the European Parliament*

---

**2023/2034(INI)**

21.4.2023

# **AMENDMENTS 222 - 425**

**Draft report**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Nathalie Loiseau**  
(PE742.667v03-00)

Recommendations for reform of the European Parliament's rules on transparency, integrity, accountability and anti-corruption  
(2023/2034(INI))



**Amendment 222**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Anna Bonfrisco, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17a. Stresses the important role that nationality plays in discriminatory political decisions; calls on Parliament to require Members to publish all their nationalities and to be subject to close scrutiny when they are in charge of a file which could give rise to a conflict of interest on account of their nationalities;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 223**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

18. **Notes** that the APPF should be strengthened in terms of staff and resources with the aim of enhancing its scrutiny capacity and promoting cooperation with the Member States for flagging potential cases of illicit funding;

18. **Is of the opinion** that the APPF should be strengthened in terms of staff and resources with the aim of enhancing its scrutiny capacity and promoting cooperation with the Member States for flagging potential cases of illicit funding; **recommends that the APPF should make use of the data provided by Very Large Online Platforms (VLOPs) in advertisement libraries and soon a European registry for political advertisements to detect illicit funding and influence campaigns;**

Or. en

**Amendment 224**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Highlights the importance of promoting full transparency concerning the revenues and expenditures for European Parliament election campaigns by national parties;

*Amendment*

19. Highlights the importance of promoting full transparency concerning the revenues and expenditures for European Parliament election campaigns by national parties; ***in this context calls for common minimum EU rules on political campaigning and political party financing, also from third countries;***

Or. en

**Amendment 225**

**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***19 a. Believes that adequate public funding of political parties, a limitation of private funding and a ban on funding from abroad as well as a limitation of their expenditure, especially during the election period, make it possible to limit the risks of foreign interference through political parties;***

Or. en

**Amendment 226**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**19 a. Commits to ensuring full transparency of the exact amounts of MEPs' side income and prohibiting any external financing of MEPs' and groups' staff; requests that the Commission urgently put forward a proposal on this matter;**

Or. en

## **Amendment 227**

**Clare Daly**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 20**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to **exert** influence **on** MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security briefings focused on foreign interference risks, tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs and Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

##### *Amendment*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to **compromise or establish improper** influence **over** MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security briefings focused on foreign interference risks, tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs and Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

Or. en

## **Amendment 228**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 20**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to exert influence on MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security briefings focused on foreign interference risks,

##### *Amendment*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to exert influence on MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security **and integrity** briefings focused on foreign

tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs and Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

interference risks, tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs and *the European* Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 229**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 20**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to exert influence on MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security briefings focused on foreign interference risks, tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs and Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

###### *Amendment*

20. Stresses that missions to non-EU countries can be used as an opportunity to exert influence on MEPs; recalls that mandatory, dedicated security briefings focused on foreign interference risks, tailored to the destination country, should be given to MEPs before any missions; underlines the need to better protect MEPs, *their offices* and Parliament staff against cyberattacks and hacking when they travel on missions to non-EU countries;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 230**

**Balázs Hidvéghi, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Jean-Paul Garraud, Aurélia Beigneux**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 21**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

**21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, in particular:**

**(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on**

###### *Amendment*

**deleted**

*behalf of Parliament;*

*(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 231**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, in particular:** *deleted*

*(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;*

*(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 232**

**Clare Daly**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, in particular:**

*deleted*

**(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;**

**(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 233**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, David Lega, Benoît Lutgen, Andrey Kovatchev, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 21 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, in particular:

##### *Amendment*

21. ***Underlines that the primary responsibility for nominating MEPs to functions and missions lies with political groups in the European Parliament and calls for responsible allocation of seats to concrete MEPs who demonstrate competence and solid expertise;*** proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, in particular:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 234**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 21 – introductory part**



*Motion for a resolution*

21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament, *in particular*:

*Amendment*

21. Proposes strengthening the rules regarding official missions carried out on behalf of Parliament;

Or. en

**Amendment 235**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 21 – point a**

*Motion for a resolution*

*(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 236**

**Sabine Verheyen, Norbert Lins, Daniel Caspary, Monika Hohlmeier, Ralf Seekatz, Axel Voss, Marion Walsmann, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Marlene Mortler, Christine Schneider**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 21 – point a**

*Motion for a resolution*

*(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 237**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point a**

*Motion for a resolution*

(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;

*Amendment*

(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament; ***while continually ensuring MEPs right to speak on their personal behalf;***

Or. en

**Amendment 238**  
**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point a**

*Motion for a resolution*

(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;

*Amendment*

(a) only the chair of the official mission should be allowed to speak publicly on behalf of ***the whole of*** Parliament ***in defending the positions adopted by it;***

Or. en

**Amendment 239**  
**Lukas Mandl**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point a**

*Motion for a resolution*

(a) ***only*** the chair of the official mission should ***be allowed*** to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;

*Amendment*

(a) the chair of the official mission should ***primarily have the privilege*** to speak publicly on behalf of Parliament;

Or. en

**Amendment 240**

Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Balázs Hidvéghi

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point b**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 241**

**Beata Szydło, Ryszard Czarnecki, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Rob Rooker**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point b**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 242**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point b**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;***

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 243**  
**Benoît Lutgen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point b**

*Motion for a resolution*

(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should ***not be allowed to espouse different*** positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

*Amendment*

(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should ***clearly explain that they are speaking only on behalf of their group or on their own behalf if they defend*** positions ***different from those of the president of the mission or*** those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be ***called to order by the chair of the mission. In the event of a serious violation of this rule or repeat violations, they may be*** barred from participating in upcoming missions;

Or. en

**Amendment 244**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 – point b**

*Motion for a resolution*

(b) during the mission, and particularly

*Amendment*

(b) during the mission, and particularly

during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs ***should not be allowed to*** espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

during meetings with foreign representatives ***and during possible interviews,*** other MEPs ***shall consistently and routinely ensure that it is clearly stated and appears publicly that they are not speaking on behalf of the Parliament if they*** espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 245**

**Sabine Verheyen, Norbert Lins, Daniel Caspary, Monika Hohlmeier, Ralf Seekatz, Axel Voss, Marion Walsmann, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Marlene Mortler, Christine Schneider**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21 – point b**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

(b) during the mission, and particularly during meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

##### *Amendment*

(b) during the mission, and particularly during ***official*** meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes ***or agreed with the delegation prior to the mission;*** MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 246**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21 – point b**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

(b) during ***the mission, and***

##### *Amendment*

(b) during ***official*** meetings with

*particularly during* meetings with foreign representatives, other MEPs should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

foreign representatives, other MEPs *taking part in the mission* should not be allowed to espouse different positions to those adopted by Parliament during the most recent votes; MEPs not adhering to this rule should be barred from participating in upcoming missions;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 247**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes; ***believes that Parliament should continue the practice of barring unauthorised, unofficial election observation by individual MEPs; stresses that MEPs should only participate in missions decided and authorised by the Conference of Presidents; recalls the establishment of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group procedure for ‘cases of individual unofficial election observation by Members of the European Parliament’ (adopted on 13 December 2018), which allows for the exclusion of MEPs from Parliament’s official election observation delegations for the duration of the parliamentary term; urges Parliament’s administration to adopt stricter sanctions, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures, against MEPs who participate in unofficial electoral missions, as well as those who, while participating in Parliament’s authorised observation missions, do not strictly respect the***

##### *Amendment*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes;

*applicable rules;*

Or. fr

## **Amendment 248**

**Clare Daly**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes; believes *that* Parliament should *continue the practice of barring unauthorised, unofficial election observation by individual MEPs; stresses that MEPs should only participate in missions decided and authorised by the Conference of Presidents*; recalls the establishment of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group procedure for ‘cases of individual unofficial election observation by Members of the European Parliament’ (adopted on 13 December 2018), which allows for the exclusion of MEPs from Parliament’s official election observation delegations for the duration of the parliamentary term; *urges Parliament’s administration to adopt stricter sanctions, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures, against MEPs who participate in unofficial electoral missions, as well as those who, while participating in Parliament’s authorised observation missions, do not strictly respect the applicable rules;*

##### *Amendment*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes; *upholds the right of MEPs to participate in activities around elections in third countries outside of the Parliament’s official missions, such as campaigning and witnessing, subject to the requirement that they do not falsely represent their presence as being part of an official EU observation mission, to avoid confusion and the misuse of the Parliament’s name;* believes *the* Parliament should *prohibit MEPs from* unofficial election observation *where they falsely represent their presence, or allow their presence to be falsely represented by host governments, as being part of an official EU observation mission*; recalls the establishment of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group procedure for ‘cases of individual unofficial election observation by Members of the European Parliament’ (adopted on 13 December 2018), which allows for the exclusion of MEPs from Parliament’s official election observation delegations for the duration of the parliamentary term; *insists that this procedure should not be applied indiscriminately or without giving affected MEPs the right to be heard or appeal the decision, nor should it lead to*

*the obstruction of legitimate international political activities;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 249**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes; believes that Parliament should continue the practice of barring unauthorised, unofficial election observation by individual MEPs; stresses that MEPs should only participate in missions decided and authorised by the Conference of Presidents; recalls the establishment of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group procedure for ‘cases of individual unofficial election observation by Members of the European Parliament’ (adopted on 13 December 2018), which allows for the exclusion of MEPs from Parliament’s official election observation delegations for the duration of the parliamentary term; urges Parliament’s administration to adopt stricter sanctions, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures, against MEPs who participate in unofficial electoral missions, as well as those who, while participating in Parliament’s authorised observation missions, do not strictly respect the applicable rules;

##### *Amendment*

22. Recalls the importance of election observation missions in providing relevant information and issuing specific recommendations to make the electoral system more resilient and help counter foreign interference in electoral processes; believes that *the European* Parliament should continue the practice of barring unauthorised, unofficial election observation by individual MEPs; stresses that MEPs should only participate in *EOM* missions decided and authorised by the Conference of Presidents; recalls the establishment of the Democracy Support and Election Coordination Group procedure for ‘cases of individual unofficial election observation by Members of the European Parliament’ (adopted on 13 December 2018), which allows for the exclusion of MEPs from *the European* Parliament’s official election observation delegations for the duration of the parliamentary term; urges Parliament’s administration to adopt stricter sanctions, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures, against MEPs who participate in unofficial electoral missions, as well as those who, while participating in *the European* Parliament’s authorised observation missions, do not strictly respect the applicable rules;

Or. en



## Amendment 250

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 23

##### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call for mandatory transparency rules for trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities, with the details to be provided including, but not being limited to, the name of the paying agent, a list of expenditure and the justification; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff;

##### *Amendment*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call for mandatory transparency rules for trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities, with the details to be provided including, but not being limited to, the name of the paying agent, a list of expenditure and the justification; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff; ***calls for adequate measures to ensure that costs for legitimate travel to third countries related to the mandate can be covered by the relevant EU institution;***

Or. en

## Amendment 251

Clare Daly

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 23

##### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call for mandatory transparency rules for trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities, with the details to be provided including, but not

##### *Amendment*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call for ***paid trips by third countries to be subject to the prior approval of a dedicated body of the European Parliament, and*** mandatory transparency

being limited to, the name of the paying agent, a list of expenditure and the justification; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff;

rules for trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities, with the details to be provided including, but not being limited to, the name of the paying agent, a list of expenditure and the justification; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff;

Or. en

## Amendment 252

Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 23

##### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call **for mandatory transparency rules for** trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities, **with the details to be provided including, but not being limited to, the name of the paying agent, a list of expenditure and the justification**; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff;

##### *Amendment*

23. Insists that individual trips undertaken by MEPs are an integral part of their freedom of mandate; reiterates its call **to prohibit** trips by officials of the EU institutions that are paid for foreign countries and entities; recalls that such organised trips must not be considered official Parliament delegations and calls for the MEPs involved in such trips to avoid any confusion in that respect, and for strict sanctions for the failure to do so; believes that similar rules should be established for trips made by APAs or political group staff; **considers that missions undertaken by a member in his/her capacity of rapporteur can always be considered as official**;

Or. en

## Amendment 253

Clare Daly

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 24

*Motion for a resolution*

**24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. en

## Amendment 254

Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 24

*Motion for a resolution*

**24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers that MEPs *acting counter to* this principle *should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;***

*Amendment*

**24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers *however that the freedom of mandate of MEPs allows them to take positions, enter in relations or act in connection with third countries when they are not members of the involved delegations but that MEPs must clearly explain that they act only in their own name, especially if they defend positions different from those adopted by the European Parliament ; considers that MEPs should be sanctioned in case of a serious or repeated violation of this principle of transparency;***

Or. en

## Amendment 255

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;

*Amendment*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures; ***calls, in this regard, for the urgent streamlining of the parliamentary delegations, their role and the scope of their action, and for them to always act in absolute coherence with the other parliamentary bodies responsible for determining the European Union's external action;***

Or. it

**Amendment 256**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, David Lega, Sunčana Glavak, Benoît Lutgen, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;

*Amendment*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU ***countries; calls for the enforcement of rules that disallow any activities that could undermine or adversely interfere with official European Parliament activities - particularly when it comes to interaction with third countries;*** considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;

**Amendment 257**

Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 24***Motion for a resolution*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; ***considers that MEPs acting counter to this principle should face consequences, including substantial fines and other restrictive measures;***

*Amendment*

24. Considers that Parliament must give absolute priority to the work of its official delegations in relation to non-EU countries; ***calls on MEPs to comply with*** this principle;

Or. fr

**Amendment 258**

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 25***Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign ***subregions or local*** persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in ***an ad hoc***

*Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries ***for which there are already official Parliament delegations,*** while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign ***territories,*** persecuted minorities ***or partners*** for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should

transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

be contingent on official declarations being filed in *the* transparency register *for intergroups and other informal groups maintained by the Quaestors*, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held; *considers that friendship groups shall publically declare any financial or substantive support, including exact amounts and assistance provided by third parties*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 259**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, Sunčana Glavak, Benoît Lutgen, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 25**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. *Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;*

##### *Amendment*

25. *Recalls that any activity or meeting of any unofficial groupings of Members that could result in confusion with official European Parliament activities will be banned; underlines that this will apply to 'Friendship Groups' with third countries where official Parliamentary interlocutors already exist; further emphasises that third countries should interact with the Parliament through the Committee of Foreign Affairs, existing official Parliament delegations, other Committees and the DEG as required;*

Or. en

## Amendment 260

Beata Szydło, Ryszard Czarnecki, Jorge Buxadé Villalba

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 25

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions **or** local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

##### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain **countries**, non-sovereign subregions, local persecuted minorities **or democratic opposition movements against autocratic regimes**, for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist, **with the most notable example of Taiwan**; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

## Amendment 261

Nathalie Loiseau, Abir Al-Sahlani, Morten Løkkegaard, Sandro Gozi

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 25

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and

##### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and

consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign **subregions** or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to **Taiwan** certain non-sovereign **sub-state actors** or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held; ***calls in parallel Parliament and its members to ensure that the delegations function in a satisfactory way, in particular in respecting the European Parliament's position as adopted in plenary sessions;***

Or. en

## **Amendment 262** **Sunčana Glavak**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 25**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc

#### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; ***notes that an exception in friendship groups may be granted for states that have the status of candidates***



transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

*and potential candidates for EU membership*; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

### **Amendment 263**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 25**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for *a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that* friendship groups *should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating* to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

###### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for *the activities of these* friendship groups *to be limited* to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. fr

### **Amendment 264**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups *should* continue to exist, ***on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which*** an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

*Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official ***European*** Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of ***the European*** Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups *could* continue to exist ***where*** an official ***European*** Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held; ***considers in this regard that a change to Rule 35 of its rules of procedure to be essential; asks for a change also to its rule 176 to allow breaches to be effectively sanctioned;***

Or. en

**Amendment 265**  
**Tomáš Zdechovský**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities

*Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups ***and intergroups*** as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups ***and intergroups*** have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case

relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

basis, for activities relating to certain non-sovereign subregions or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

## **Amendment 266**

**Clare Daly**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 25**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain ***non-sovereign*** subregions or local ***persecuted*** minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

##### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain subregions or local minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

## **Amendment 267**

**Anna Bonfrisco**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain ***non-sovereign subregions*** or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

*Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain ***regions*** or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

**Amendment 268**  
**Andrey Kovatchev**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain ***non-sovereign***

*Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain ***regions*** or local

*subregions* or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

## **Amendment 269**

**Nicolaus Fest**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 25**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain *non-sovereign subregions* or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

##### *Amendment*

25. Condemns the use of some informal friendship groups as entry points for foreign interference; highlights, furthermore, that some friendship groups have had a detrimental effect on official Parliament bodies and the reputation and consistency of Parliament's actions; calls, therefore, for a ban on friendship groups with non-EU countries, while recognising that friendship groups should continue to exist, on a case-by-case basis, for activities relating to certain *regions* or local persecuted minorities for which an official Parliament delegation does not exist; underlines that any such exceptions should be contingent on official declarations being filed in an ad hoc transparency register, to feature the name of all the MEPs and stakeholders involved, as well as all the meetings held;

Or. en

## **Amendment 270**

**Clare Daly**

### **Motion for a resolution**

## Paragraph 26

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with social issues, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

*deleted*

Or. en

## Amendment 271

Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 26

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with social issues, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with social, ***economic, cultural or other*** issues, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

Or. en

## Amendment 272

Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 26

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with ***social*** issues, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with ***fundamental rights*** issues, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

Or. fr

### **Amendment 273**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 26**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with **social issues**, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

###### *Amendment*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, under the pretence of dealing with **general public concerns**, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

Or. en

### **Amendment 274**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 26**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations that, **under the pretence of dealing with social issues**, are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

###### *Amendment*

26. Urges MEPs to be vigilant about certain associations, **Consulting Agencies or Foundations** that are vectors of influence and undeclared interference by foreign countries;

Or. en

### **Amendment 275**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Subheading 4**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

Integrity of parliamentary work

###### *Amendment*

Integrity **and transparency** of parliamentary work

Or. en

**Amendment 276**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26 a. Urges to implement, enforce and supervise adherence to the current provisions of the Transparency Register IIA within the European Parliament in a much stricter way, namely by ensuring that all Members of the European Parliament, accredited parliamentary assistants and staff members' meetings with third-party representatives can only take place in accordance with the EU Transparency Register provisions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 277**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzì, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26a. Takes the view that the EP Bureau's decision-making procedure needs to be revised to make Parliament's governing bodies more transparent and accountable, and all the Bureau's documents should be published in a proactive and timely manner, since its decisions are opaque even to MEPs;**

Or. it

**Amendment 278**



**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo,  
Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 26 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26b. Considers that Parliament should keep a closer eye on the activities of officials and parliamentary assistants with access to sensitive information who are involved in key dossiers and who, given the sensitive nature of their role, cannot simultaneously belong to non-government organisations, associations or other bodies that might have an interest in influencing parliamentary activity;**

Or. it

**Amendment 279**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 26 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26 b. Calls for opening inter-institutional talks in order to review the IIA on Transparency Register, long before the foreseen deadline of July 2025;**

Or. en

**Amendment 280**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso,  
Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 26 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26 c. Calls for an assessment of the**

*organisations currently in the EU Transparency Register regarding foreign influence and the effective respect of the code of conduct; insists on strengthening the EU Transparency Register by increasing its budget and the number of staff; calls on considering an extension of its scope, in the framework; calls for taking active measures, should the assessment of the register identify any organisations under malign foreign influence;*

Or. en

**Amendment 281**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzì, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 26 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26c. Recommends introducing a rotation scheme for officials in the political groups, in line with the (seven-yearly) scheme already in place for officials in Parliament's administration, in order to prevent conflicts of interest on key dossiers and safeguard against corruption;*

Or. it

**Amendment 282**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 26 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26 d. Demands a reinforced control on all MEPs' and staff's received invitations, gifts and trips related to third countries;*

**Amendment 283**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26 e. Calls for a review of the Inter-Institutional Agreement on Better Law-Making, in particular by strengthening the principle of transparency and by introducing the notion of integrity among the common commitments and objectives of the legislative process; calls for an horizontal implementation of these principles in all relevant chapters of the inter-institutional agreement;**

Or. en

**Amendment 284**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26 f. Points out that openness of the legislative process and in particular access to documents represent essential elements in the promotion of transparency and integrity of the institutions; criticises the fact that one of the main transparency-related problems facing the European institutions is their own frequent refusal to grant access to documents and information; reiterates its call on the Council to publish minutes of the meetings of Council's deliberations and all its other documents;**

Or. en

**Amendment 285**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzì, Fabio Massimo Castaldo,  
Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26a. Calls for the Code of Conduct for Members to be revised to toughen up the sanctions applied in the event of breach to endow them with a real deterrent effect; considers it important for sanctionable activities to be monitored and checked; recommends that decisions concerning the application of sanctions be taken by an independent collegial body rather than one individual; takes the view that where an MEP is found guilty of intentionally committing a criminal offence in the performance of their duties, they should be stripped of the perks of office, such as their pension;**

Or. it

**Amendment 286**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzì, Fabio Massimo Castaldo,  
Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26b. calls for the advisory committee to be composed of independent members rather than, as is currently the case, MEPs; calls for the committee to be endowed with real powers to monitor the conduct of MEPs, have access to greater resources and publish its investigations;**

Or. it

## Amendment 287

Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 27

*Motion for a resolution*

**27. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of Parliament's action to protect human rights around the globe; denounces any attempts at interfering with them; acknowledges that they must retain their urgency, but proposes that a more suitable amount of time be allowed for their drafting in order to ensure due protection against external influence; reiterates that their scope should be strictly observed;**

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

## Amendment 288

Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 27

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of Parliament's action **to protect** human rights around the **globe**; denounces any attempts at interfering with them; acknowledges that they must retain their urgency, but proposes that a more suitable amount of time be allowed for their drafting in order to ensure due protection against external influence; reiterates that their scope should be strictly observed;

*Amendment*

27. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of Parliament's action; **takes the view that they are one of the few instruments available to the EU that it can use to make its voice heard and to condemn** human rights **violations** around the **world in a timely manner; recognises, however, the limits inherent in the use of that instrument and believes that a revision is needed to make it more transparent and incisive without restricting it**; denounces any attempts at interfering with them; acknowledges that they must retain their urgency, but proposes that a more suitable amount of

time be allowed for their drafting in order to ensure due protection against external influence; reiterates that their scope should be strictly observed;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 289**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 27**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

27. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of Parliament's action to protect human rights around the globe; denounces any attempts at interfering with them; ***acknowledges that they must retain their urgency, but proposes that a more suitable amount of time be allowed for their drafting in order to ensure due protection against external influence; reiterates that their scope should be strictly observed;***

###### *Amendment*

27. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of Parliament's action to protect human rights around the globe; denounces any attempts at interfering with them;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 290**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

28. ***Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament on international issues be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs***

###### *Amendment*

***deleted***

*who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 291**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 28**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament on international issues be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

##### *Amendment*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament on international issues be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned, ***whose identity shall be communicated to and duly guarded by the body designated for that purpose***; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 292**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 28**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament ***on international issues*** be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of

##### *Amendment*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur ***and shadows***, with the exception of individuals whose security

individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for **MEPs who draft** reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur **has** received;

would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for **rapporteurs and shadows of** reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur **or shadows have** received **by disclosing the legislative footprints**;

Or. en

### **Amendment 293**

**Clare Daly**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament **on international issues** be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

###### *Amendment*

28. Recommends that **all** reports voted on by Parliament be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur **and shadow rapporteurs in connection with their work on the report**, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

Or. en

### **Amendment 294**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament on international issues be

###### *Amendment*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament on international issues be



accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, **and possibly shadow rapporteurs**, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 295**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament **on international issues** be accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

###### *Amendment*

28. Recommends that reports voted on by Parliament be **mandatorily** accompanied by an annex containing a list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose security would be put at risk if they were mentioned; recommends, therefore, making it mandatory for MEPs who draft reports or opinions to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions that the rapporteur has received;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 296**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

29. ***Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose*** all scheduled meetings with third ***parties related to a report or resolution***; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;

29. ***Considers that publishing*** all scheduled meetings with ***lobbies and interest groups should be made compulsory for all MEPs, accredited parliamentary assistants, political group staff and European Parliament staff***; ***calls for the establishment of a mandatory legislative and non-legislative footprint***; ***requests changing rule 11 of its rules of procedure by including a definition of “scheduled meeting” and “active role” and by extending the obligation to all MEPs, APAs and staff, and by covering also diplomatic representatives of third countries in the same provision***; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for ***the European*** Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 297**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political

###### *Amendment*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political

group staff;

group staff; *emphasize the need for making the disclosure process as easy and quick as possible, while maintaining the integrity of the procedure;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 298**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;

###### *Amendment*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by **Members**, Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff **when meeting with interest representatives in the context of any legislative dossier to be voted on by Parliament**;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 299**

**Sabine Verheyen, Norbert Lins, Daniel Caspary, Monika Hohlmeier, Ralf Seekatz, Axel Voss, Marion Walsmann, Karolin Braunsberger-Reinhold, Marlene Mortler, Christine Schneider**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are

###### *Amendment*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are

obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; ***believes that similar rules should be established for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;***

obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included;

Or. en

### **Amendment 300**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; ***believes that*** similar rules ***should be established*** for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;

###### *Amendment*

29. Recalls that committee chairs, rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs are obligated to publicly disclose all scheduled meetings with third parties related to a report or resolution; points out, however, that the system for declaring such meetings should be updated, in particular as it still does not take into account subcommittees; calls for Parliament delegations also to be included; ***commits to amend Rule 11 of its Rules of Procedure to introduce*** similar rules for meetings attended by Parliament officials, APAs and political group staff;

Or. en

### **Amendment 301**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 29 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29 a. Calls on stronger action to enhance whistleblower protection for staff and accredited parliamentary assistants, in line with the protection provided for in the Whistleblower Directive; considers that at the same time, Article 22c of the Staff Regulations should be urgently revised, in order to align it with the standards of the Whistleblower Directive;**

Or. en

**Amendment 302**  
**Sunčana Glavak**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29 a. Calls for creating a positive environment for whistleblowers and their stronger protection;**

Or. en

**Amendment 303**  
**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29 b. Believes that the list of activities under sanction for Members will need to be revised to help compliance with the new obligations and responsibilities required; considers that a system of warnings and reminders shall be put in place to remind Members of rules before imposing sanctions, in case of severe and systematic breaches; insists that sanctions must also include the option of financial**

*penalties; supports a change to rule 176 in order to have a strong and dissuasive framework, in particular in case of severe non-compliance with rule 10 (standards of conduct), rule 11 (publication of meetings); rule 35 (Intergroups and other groupings); conflicting external activities, including election observation; infringements of financial nature.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 304**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives;** **deleted**

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 305**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives;

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives; ***stresses that these declarations of meetings should be as clear and accessible to the public as possible and that sanctions for the failure to declare meetings need to be applied; agrees with the proposed Commission scheme that exceptions can be allowed***

*and more general declarations made in cases where the naming of individuals or organisations would put their life or physical safety at risk;*

Or. en

**Amendment 306**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. *Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required* to declare meetings with representatives of *non-EU* countries and *other interest representatives*;

*Amendment*

30. *Further recalls that of the 14 points endorsed by the Conference of Presidents, the fourth, originally proposed by President Metsola, commits to changing the Rules of Procedure in order for all Members, APAs and staff to declare scheduled meetings with diplomatic representatives of third countries and third parties covered by the scope of the Transparency Register on specific reports or resolutions or in situations in which MEPs or staff have an active role and clear and immediate influence in the evolution of specific files;*

Or. en

**Amendment 307**  
**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives;

*Amendment*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives, *as well as with representatives of interests listed in the Transparency Register who are*

*involved in activities related to legislative dossiers concerning countries considered to be at risk of external interference or conflict of interest;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 308**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 30**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives;

###### *Amendment*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives; ***while taking into account the necessity to guarantee exemptions in duly justified cases that demand specific protection and confidentiality due to the vulnerable positions of the individual concerned;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 309**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 30**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives;

###### *Amendment*

30. Calls for MEPs and their offices to be required to declare meetings with representatives of non-EU countries and other interest representatives, ***specifically if MEPs currently hold responsibilities as Rapporteur or Shadow Rapporteur on legislative proposals, resolutions or urgencies;***

Or. en



**Amendment 310**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30 a. Requests amending the Members' Statute in order to create a verifiable, searchable and detailed public mandatory register of annual financial declarations on wealth and income; requests amending article 4 of the Code of Conduct for members, in order to introduce additional requirements - for instance by providing supporting documents - for information on Members' declaration of financial interests, in a very detailed manner; considers essential to ensure the information contained in its internal register is up-to-date and made available in a timely manner;***

Or. en

**Amendment 311**

**Beata Szydło, Ryszard Czarnecki, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Nicola Procaccini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30 a. Takes note that in current term there were cases of MEPs receiving regular payments from think - tanks and organisations based outside the EU for consulting and advisory role; underlines that such practices could be seen as undue influence on MEPs by third country organisations and therefore any remuneration received from such source should be banned under Parliament's Rules of Procedures;***

**Amendment 312**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30 a. Reiterates its call for a ban on Members of the European Parliament performing paid side jobs or activities on behalf of organisations or individuals covered by the scope of the Transparency Register, in order to limit potential conflicts of interest; observes that this measure has yet to be implemented;***

Or. en

**Amendment 313**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30 b. Suggests forbidding Members of the European Parliament keeping gifts above 100 Euros;***

Or. en

**Amendment 314**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30 c. Recalls the importance of urgency resolutions as part of the European***

*Parliament's action on protecting human rights around the globe; denounces any attempts at interfering with them; reiterates that the scope of the European Parliament's urgency resolutions should be strictly observed; underlines that the strength and impact of the EP's human rights urgency resolutions should not be undermined;*

Or. en

**Amendment 315**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 30 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*30 d. Recommends that reports voted by the European Parliament be accompanied by an annex containing the list of persons or institutions met by the rapporteur, with the exception of individuals whose mention would pose a potential conflict of interest or be detrimental for the security for the individual met; hence recommends that measures, under which MEPs drafting reports or opinions can choose to attach a list to demonstrate the range of outside expertise and opinions the rapporteur has received, to be made mandatory;*

Or. en

**Amendment 316**

**Beata Szydło, Ryszard Czarnecki, Jorge Buxadé Villalba**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**31. *Insists on the obligation to declare*      *deleted***

*participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, NGO, think tank or private company;*

Or. en

**Amendment 317**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, NGO, think tank or private company;

*Amendment*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, NGO, think tank or private company, *specifically if MEPs currently hold responsibilities as Rapporteur or Shadow Rapporteur on legislative proposals, resolutions or urgencies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 318**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, *NGO, think tank or* private company;

*Amendment*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, private company, *business organisation, think tank, foundation or NGO;*

Or. en

**Amendment 319**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state, **NGO, think tank or private company**;

*Amendment*

31. Insists on the obligation to declare participation in any conference or event organised or funded by a foreign state **or organisations of interest representation**;

Or. en

**Amendment 320**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. Is concerned by the fact that some MEPs are members of political parties that have received financial support from non-EU entities, including from Russia, and that their political positions have **clearly** been influenced by this support;

*Amendment*

32. Is concerned by the fact that some MEPs are members of political parties that have received financial support from non-EU entities, including from Russia, and **at the possibility** that their political positions **could** have been influenced by this support;

Or. en

**Amendment 321**  
**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Anna Júlia Donáth, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**32 a. Calls for measures to increase the transparency of MEPs' financial declarations, including the inclusion of a declaration of assets by MEPs at the beginning and end of each term of office;**

**Amendment 322**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 32 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***32 a. Is of the opinion that a declaration of assets by MEPs before and after their mandate may contribute to the fight against corruption, following the good practices of many Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 323**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 32 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***32 b. Is concerned about the possibility of Members of the European Parliament to work side jobs in similar fields as their legislative work, which open the door to potential conflicts of interest, especially if Members work as managers or advisors in banks or corporations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 324**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should ***systematically refuse to use*** prewritten amendments ***proposals*** from embassies, ***lobbyists or NGOs***;

*Amendment*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should ***be obliged to disclose publicly when they use proposals, including*** prewritten amendments, from embassies ***or any interest representatives by indicating this in the amendment's justification***;

Or. en

**Amendment 325**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff ***should systematically refuse to use prewritten amendments proposals from embassies, lobbyists or NGOs***;

*Amendment*

33. Reiterates that ***all interest groups, including embassies, lobbyists and NGOs, should publish written input sent to MEPs; urges*** MEPs, their staff and political group staff ***to critically evaluate input received from such interest groups***;

Or. en

**Amendment 326**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should systematically refuse to use prewritten amendments proposals from embassies, lobbyists or NGOs;

*Amendment*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should systematically refuse to use prewritten amendments proposals from embassies, lobbyists, ***business organisations, companies, consulting agencies*** or NGOs;

Or. en

**Amendment 327**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should systematically refuse to use ***prewritten amendments*** proposals from embassies, lobbyists or NGOs;

*Amendment*

33. Reiterates that MEPs, their staff and political group staff should systematically refuse to use ***amendment proposals*** from embassies, lobbyists or NGOs ***working for or representing foreign states or their interests***;

Or. en

**Amendment 328**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***33 a. Recalls the Parliament's report on the shrinking space for civil society in Europe of 22 February 2022, which asserts the crucial role played by CSOs in the realisation and protection of the Union values set out in Article 2 TEU, and the formulation and implementation of EU law, policies and strategies, including combating climate change, digital transformation and recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic; continues to stress their key contribution to informed public debate, articulating aspirations present in society, giving a voice to vulnerable and marginalised people, ensuring access to crucial services, providing expertise in policy-making, promoting active citizenship, acting as schools of democracy and being indispensable watchdogs exercising***



*democratic control over state institutions and ensuring accountability for public action and use of public funds; embraces a positive obligation to ensure an enabling environment for CSOs including access to transparent funding mechanisms and civil dialogue mechanisms, in line with international human rights standards on freedom of association, expression and assembly, and as also reaffirmed by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;*

Or. en

**Amendment 329**

**Balázs Hidvéghi, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Jean-Paul Garraud, Aurélia Beigneux**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*33 a. Highlights the crucial importance of adopting clear rules on the transparency - with special emphasis on the financing sources - of NGOs, think tanks and experts influencing the decision-making in the European Parliament without any exceptions and limitations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 330**

**Balázs Hidvéghi, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Jean-Paul Garraud, Aurélia Beigneux**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*33 b. Notes with concern the practice of several NGOs that produce politically biased reports based on double standards*

*against certain Member States; calls on EU institutions to stop the practice of copy-pasting texts and reports coming from politically biased NGOs and outside experts to the official positions of the EU institutions;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 331**

**Clare Daly**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 34**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need *for transparency on the funding received by NGOs and* think tanks that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, *when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being* listed in the Transparency Register *in order to be able to appear at committee meetings;* encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

##### *Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need *to ensure that interest groups such as consultancies, law firms and other commercial interest representatives, as well as NGOs, think tanks and other professional organisations* that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular *when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament or attend committee meetings or* when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, *are* listed in the Transparency Register; *believes that this requirement should not apply to non-professional or voluntary citizen or community organisations or campaigners, or restrict their ability to engage with their Parliament; recalls that, according to the Transparency Register (Annex II), NGOs are required to provide their main sources of funding by category, whereas this is not the case for commercial interest representatives, nor their intermediaries, who are merely required to provide an estimate of the annual cost of lobbying;* encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

**Amendment 332**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 34***Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need for ***transparency on the funding received by NGOs and think tanks that*** wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; ***welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;***

*Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need for ***all interest representatives that are active in and*** wish to be involved with Parliament ***(whether representing commercial interests or otherwise) to be obliged to register in the transparency register,*** in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; ***reiterates the request made in the resolution of 15 December 2022 and calls for the EU transparency register to be strengthened by increasing its budget allocation and headcount, so that it is able to verify the information provided by applicants and registrants more thoroughly; stresses that the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to lobby Parliament would reduce the risk of corruption and undue interference;***

Or. it

**Amendment 333**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 34***Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need for

*Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need for

transparency on the funding received by NGOs and think tanks that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

transparency on the funding received by **Consulting Agencies**, NGOs and think tanks that wish to be involved with **the European** Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of **the European** Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register; ***calls for particular regard to proportionality criteria and to avoid cumbersome procedures especially for small and no-profit NGOs;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 334**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, David Lega, Sunčana Glavak, Benoît Lutgen, Andrey Kovatchev, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 34**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency on the funding received by NGOs and think tanks that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in

###### *Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency on the funding received by NGOs and think tanks that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in

order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register; ***asks for a consideration of drawing-up a list of high-risk countries and their representatives based on objective criteria;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 335**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 34**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency on the funding received by NGOs and think tanks that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

##### *Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency on the funding received by ***interest representatives, such as*** NGOs and think tanks, that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

Or. en

### **Amendment 336**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency on the funding received by **NGOs and think tanks** that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; **welcomes, in this regard, the proposal for stronger checks on interest representatives, such as the prerequisite of being listed in the Transparency Register in order to be able to appear at committee meetings**; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register;

*Amendment*

34. Strongly insists on the need for transparency **by means of a registration in the EU Transparency Register** on the funding received by **any interest representatives** that wish to be involved with Parliament, in particular when they request support or sponsorship from MEPs to organise meetings on Parliament's premises, when they are invited to a hearing, **exchange of views or any other scheduled appearance**, or when they participate in a study or research on behalf of Parliament; encourages the adoption of specific provisions for interest representatives whose activities do not fall within the scope of the Transparency Register **such as third country representatives with diplomatic status**;

Or. en

**Amendment 337**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**34 a. Furthermore, calls for the Transparency Register to evaluate its current lists of registrants to assess their compliance with the Code of Conduct of registrants;**

Or. en

**Amendment 338**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**34 b. Notes the general need for sufficient funding to ensure proper control with registrants in the Transparency Register;**

Or. en

**Amendment 339**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**34 c. Consider it relevant for the Parliament to discuss its options to improve transparency and anti-corruptions measures related to interest representatives seeking to influence legislative proposals or resolutions, including by arranging meetings with MEPs, their offices or staff of political groups; this could, among other initiatives, include stronger measures for interest representatives relating to their registering in the Transparency Register;**

Or. en

**Amendment 340**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**34 d. *Calls for more restrictive measures to be implemented in case of violations of the code of conduct for registrants in the Transparency Register; this could include longer suspensions for severe breaches; additionally, breaches on the code of conduct of registrants should be made public, and the information should be easy accessible for European citizens and other organisations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 341**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**35. *Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 342**  
**Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35**



*Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *NGOs* before they are listed in the Transparency Register; **requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;**

*Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *interest representatives* before they are listed in the Transparency Register; **reiterates its call for the EU institutions to reform the Transparency Register, including by introducing more stringent transparency rules, mapping foreign funding for EU-related lobbying and ensuring entries which allow for the identification of funding from foreign governments and entities acting on their behalf; recalls that all organisations falling under the scope of Transparency Register need to follow the rules on financial declarations and that such information should be readily accessible; believes the Register should have the means to scrutinise these declarations; regrets the fact that certain declarations do not specify the exact amounts that are spent on interest representation within EU institutions; reiterates the importance of transparent and balanced stakeholder consultation as part of policy-making;**

Or. en

**Amendment 343**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive

*Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs **and Consulting Agencies** before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; **requests**

money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

*transparency of the client structure of Consulting Agencies, if they wish to register in the Transparency Register;* underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 344**

**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 35**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

##### *Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in the Transparency Register; ***ask that these organizations also demonstrate transparency in the composition of their governing bodies and that they demonstrate compliance with all the legal obligations of the State where they have their legal headquarters, particularly in terms of financing and accounting obligations***; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 345**

**Nathalie Loiseau, Anna Júlia Donáth, Morten Løkkegaard, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Iskra Mihaylova, Bart Groothuis, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

*Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of NGOs before they are listed in ***the Transparency Register, and for a review of all interest groups currently registered on*** the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. en

**Amendment 346**

**Anna Júlia Donáth, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of ***NGOs*** before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘***NGO status***’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that ***those NGOs*** that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their

*Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of ***all interest groups*** before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘***interest representatives***’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that ***all interest representatives*** that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must

funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 347**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 35**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *NGOs* before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

###### *Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *interest representatives, including NGOs*, before they are listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of ‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those NGOs that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 348**

**Laura Ferrara, Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 35**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *NGOs before they are* listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of

###### *Amendment*

35. Calls for the comprehensive financial screening of *all subjects that ask to be* listed in the Transparency Register; requests that a clear legal definition of

‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those *NGOs* that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

‘NGO status’ be drawn up, which would apply to all organisations wishing to be listed in the Transparency Register and become eligible to receive EU funding; underlines that those *interest representatives* that receive money from third parties that are not required to be listed in the Transparency Register must disclose the sources of their funding by providing the same information as for all regular registrants;

Or. it

**Amendment 349**  
**Markus Pieper, Sabine Verheyen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**35 a. Highlights that in the interest of clarity, legal certainty and the rule of law, transparency regulations for NGOs should be transferred into an NGO regulation; points out that the NGO regulation must systematically define NGOs; underlines that large NGOs that are registered in the EU Transparency Register should be treated in the same way as companies and fulfil the same reporting obligations; stresses that this should not lead to bureaucratic burdens;**

Or. en

**Amendment 350**  
**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**35 a. Calls on the Commission to consider proposals to improve the Transparency Register for EU institutions to ensure enhanced transparency and accountability; this may include proposals for additional obligations for registrants in the Transparency Register;**

Or. en

**Amendment 351**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 352**  
**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values **or obligations related to their registration in the Transparency Register,**

reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

### **Amendment 353**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits *of NGOs* working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

###### *Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits, *including random checks, of interest representatives, including NGOs*, working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

### **Amendment 354**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in

###### *Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with *the European* Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, *as defined in Art2 TEU*, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be

the event that any such infringements occur;

reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 355**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Abir Al-Sahlani, Petras Auštrevičius, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth, Nathalie Loiseau, Bart Groothuis**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

###### *Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of NGOs working closely with Parliament **or other EU institutions** to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 356**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of **NGOs** working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in

###### *Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of **interest organizations** working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be



the event that any such infringements occur;

recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 357**

**Anna Júlia Donáth, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Nathalie Loiseau**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of *NGOs* working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

###### *Amendment*

36. Calls for enhanced controls on or audits of ***all interest groups*** working closely with Parliament to be put in place in order to identify irregularities, fraud or breaches of obligations, including the violation of EU values, and for contracts to be suspended or terminated, or for their duration to be reduced, and for funds to be recovered in the event that any such infringements occur;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 358**

**Anna Bonfrisco**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

***36 a. Notes that NGOs were allegedly used in this case as vectors of foreign interference in European parliamentarism; calls for a review of the current rules for NGOs, in particular those related to governance, budget, anti-money laundering, foreign influence and persons of significant control, with the aim of increasing their transparency and accountability; calls on the Commission to study if broadening the scope of AML rules, particularly by including NGOs,***

*would have prevented these events from taking place;*

Or. en

**Amendment 359**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, David Lega, Sunčana Glavak, Benoît Lutgen, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 36 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*36 a. calls for a more thorough screening of third country participants including invited speakers and guests in parliamentary committees and interparliamentary delegations and assemblies, particularly concerning their funding, until further and more permanent measures are implemented;*

Or. en

**Amendment 360**

**Nathalie Loiseau, Abir Al-Sahlani, Anna Júlia Donáth, Morten Løkkegaard, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Iskra Mihaylova, Bart Groothuis**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 36 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*36 a. Urges to amend the Interinstitutional Agreement, so that the Transparency Register is obliged to submit its annual report to the European Parliament and to report on incidents and needs related to the risk of foreign interference;*

Or. en

## Amendment 361

Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 37

##### *Motion for a resolution*

37. Supports the overhaul of Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer;

##### *Amendment*

37. Supports the overhaul of *the European* Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer; *regrets that most Members of the European Parliament do not declare their meetings with lobbyists; furthermore regrets that the declaration of meetings is mandatory only for Members having a formal position, such as Rapporteur; recommends that Members should be obliged to register all their meetings, except for with individuals needing specific protection, and calls for the necessary resources to be attributed for enforcement of such a rule;*

Or. en

## Amendment 362

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 37

##### *Motion for a resolution*

37. Supports the overhaul of Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer;

##### *Amendment*

37. Supports the overhaul of Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer; *calls for a user-friendly system on the Parliament's website whereby, for each roll-call vote, the text voted on and the voting results can be filtered by group and by MEP; further calls for the roll-call vote results, the MEP presence data and the text put to the vote, to be made available*

*in machine-readable formats;*

Or. en

**Amendment 363**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Supports the overhaul of Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer;

*Amendment*

37. Supports the overhaul of Parliament's website, which aims at making the information thereon more easily accessible to the public and clearer; ***calls for a thorough evaluation of and improvements to the readability of Members' legislative activities, in particular by disclosing legislative footprints for proposed texts and amendments;***

Or. en

**Amendment 364**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***37 a. Believes that a declaration of assets by Members at the beginning of and end of each mandate would offer additional safeguards against corruption, following the example of many Member States; believes that the asset declaration could be accessible only to relevant authorities to allow them to check whether declared assets fit with declared incomes when faced with instances of substantiated allegations, which would make spending illegal proceeds substantially more difficult;***

**Amendment 365**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 a. Calls to significantly enhance whistleblower protection for staff members and APAs, by immediately revising Parliament's Internal Rules Implementing Article 22c of the Staff Regulations to bring them in line with the protections provided for in the Whistleblower Directive and by amending the Staff Regulations, especially Article 22c thereof, in order to align them with the standards of the Whistleblower Directive;**

Or. en

**Amendment 366**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 a. Calls for the urgent introduction of a mandatory zero-tolerance policy training on anti-corruption and transparency for MEPs, accredited parliamentary assistants and staff members;**

Or. en

**Amendment 367**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 37 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 b. Suggests reinforcing and making full use of the sanctions procedures within the European Parliament without delay, while working towards the establishment of an independent ethics body; points in that regard to the recent observations made by the European Ombudsman regarding the Parliament's Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that she suggests to strengthen its independence while granting it powers to proactively monitor, investigate and ensure compliance with ethics rules, in particular the Code of Conduct, and providing it with sufficient resources;**

Or. en

**Amendment 368**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 37 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 b. Commits to introduce a mandatory declaration of absence of conflict of interest for rapporteurs and shadow rapporteurs;**

Or. en

**Amendment 369**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 c. Recalls its position in favour of a revision of the Staff Regulations, especially Article 22c thereof, in order to align it with the standards of the Whistleblower Directive; underlines further that training for MEPs on whistleblowing rules should be mandatory, not optional;**

Or. en

**Amendment 370**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 c. Commits to better communicate its rules on travel expense reimbursements and gifts received by Members and to strengthening their enforcement through sanctions when appropriate;**

Or. en

**Amendment 371**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 d. Notes with great concern that the alleged corruption of those involved in the current scandal would be possible with the Parliament's current rules and their interpretation in similar forms legally as**

*side-income as ‘consultant’ for unnamed clients that could include third countries, companies under their control or interest representatives working on their behalf; notes that over a quarter of Members declared to be paid for outside activities including around 39 MEPs found to earn more than 100 000 EUR a year from side activities in 2021 and thereby potentially more from outside interests than from the regular MEP income provided by Parliament; calls for Members’ declarations to be subject to institutional checks or verified by relevant documents while this is done for members of national parliaments and Members of the European Parliament in some Member States, such as France;*

Or. en

**Amendment 372**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*37 e. Commits to increase the transparency of Members’ financial declarations by requiring more information on Members’ side jobs and outside activities; believes that Parliament needs to be stricter and more transparent by publishing the exact amount of side income earned, the time spent, the position description and the clients on whose behalf Members work for payment; calls, in order to limit potential conflicts of interest, for a ban on Members performing paid side jobs or activities on behalf of organisations or individuals covered by the scope of the Transparency Register; commits to this end to change its Rules of Procedure, in particular Rule 11 and Annex I, Code of Conduct for*



*Members;*

Or. en

**Amendment 373**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***37 f. Notes that, in some Member States, statutory provisions exist on the rules governing the exercise of professions, which in particular objectively prevent law firms from registering themselves in the Transparency Register and in the process revealing the information about their clients which the register requires; calls on the Commission and the President of the Parliament to secure a practical outcome and to enshrine a result in the modified agreement as soon as possible;***

Or. en

**Amendment 374**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 g (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***37 g. Reiterates its call for specific obligations for Members to declare their assets at the beginning and end of each mandate; believes that the asset declaration could be accessible only to relevant authorities to allow them to check whether declared assets fit with declared incomes when faced with***

*substantiated allegations; believes that asset declarations should also become mandatory for Commissioners, Presidents and Secretary-Generals of EU institutions, bodies and agencies, as well as their heads of cabinets;*

Or. en

**Amendment 375**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 h (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*37 h. Commits to reform the Advisory Committee in line with its proposal for an independent ethics body; stresses that this must involve adopting an unequivocal and comprehensive definition of conflict of interests, given the current revelations, involving external experts, allowing the Advisory Committee to scrutinise Members on its own initiative, allowing substantiated complaints to be raised by anyone, introducing the obligatory publication by the President of sanctions adopted and situations where sanctions are not applied, and introducing proactive checks of Members' declarations of interest;*

Or. en

**Amendment 376**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 i (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***37 i. Calls for the establishment of a clear and enhanced sanctions regime that is transparently enforced and not applied only by the President discretionally, including a revision of sanctionable activities; believes that any breach of the code of conduct shall be sanctioned, including financially; proposes for the recommendations of the advisory committee to be public and for the President to publish reasons in case of any deviations from the recommendations; is of the opinion that in addition to financial sanctions, other sanctions should also be considered based on the severity of the breach; notes that the Rules of Procedure provide that for certain breaches Members may not be elected as office-holders of Parliament or of one of its bodies, be appointed as a rapporteur or participate in an official delegation or interinstitutional negotiations; proposes imposition of this sanction for serious breaches of the Code of Conduct;***

Or. en

**Amendment 377**  
**Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37 j (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***37 j. Expresses its regret that the Bureau has not implemented the will of the Plenary expressed on several occasions to reform the General Expenditure Allowance, thereby preventing transparency and accountability in the Union's spending and fostering a culture of impunity;***

Or. en

**Amendment 378**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 k (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 k. Calls on Member States and all EU institutions, especially Parliament, to increase cooperation with the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the European Union Agency for Criminal Justice Cooperation (Eurojust), and the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) in order to ensure proper and effective investigation of all alleged cases of corruption in the EU institutions, bodies and agencies; calls for the capacities of and cooperation between OLAF and the EPPO to be strengthened further, as the key EU anti-corruption bodies; recommends that the European Public Prosecutor Office (EPPO) should be extended to the whole Union, which would facilitate its cooperation with other institutions and ensure better prosecution of cases in currently non-member countries;**

Or. en

**Amendment 379**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 l (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 l. Commits to ensure that Parliament's documents are easily accessible, irrespective of their medium, to all citizens; calls, in particular, for**

*amending Rule 122(3) of the Rules of Procedure to ensure the provision of documents in an open, user-friendly, machine-readable format;*

Or. en

**Amendment 380**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 m (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*37 m. Insists that all EU institutions participating in trilogues should, according to Article 12(2) of Regulation (EC) 1049/2001 make legislative documents, that is to say, documents drawn up or received in the course of procedures for the adoption of acts which are legally binding in or for the Member States, directly accessible, unless their disclosure would seriously undermine the decision-making process; highlights the importance of the recent judgement in Case T-163/21 on access to legislative documents of Council's working groups, in which the CJEU concludes that access to legislative documents must be as wide as possible and that exceptions could apply only if access to such documents would specifically, effectively and in a non-hypothetical manner seriously undermine the possibility of reaching an agreement on the legislative proposal in question; calls on the Council to fully comply with this judgment; calls for all EU institutions to fully comply with the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Case T-540/15 on access to trilogue documents;*

Or. en

**Amendment 381**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 n (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 n. Is of the opinion that the categories of documents which are to be directly accessible through Parliament's public register shall include preparatory legislative documents, no matter if authored by the Parliament alone or together with the other institutions, such as political and technical trilogue documents, including all versions of the joint multi-column document referred to in the Code of Conduct for negotiating in the context of the ordinary legislative procedure;**

Or. en

**Amendment 382**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37 o (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 o. Calls for a revision of Article 42c of the Staff Regulations on the leave in the interests of the service, which allows for non-transparent early retirement of some staff of the EU institutions; regrets the Parliament's refusal to grant access to the data of the compensations for its staff on leave in the interests of the service;**

Or. en

**Amendment 383**

**Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37 p (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 p. Calls for a mandatory training on transparency, integrity and financial rules, compliance, conduct and whistleblowing for all MEPs, their offices and staff;**

Or. en

**Amendment 384**  
**Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37 q (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37 q. Stresses that the lack of a coherent and comprehensive set of codified rules of good administration across the Union makes it difficult for citizens and businesses to easily and fully understand their rights under Union law; emphasizes, therefore, that codifying rules of good administration in the form of a regulation setting out the various aspects of the administrative procedure - including notifications, binding time limits, the right to be heard, and the right for every person to have access to his or her file - is tantamount to reinforcing citizens' rights and transparency; believes that this regulation would bring more accessibility, clarity and coherence to the interpretation of existing rules, for the benefit of citizens and businesses and of the administration and its officials;**

Or. en

## **Amendment 385**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

38. Welcomes the Commission's **proposed** package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference;

##### *Amendment*

38. Welcomes the Commission's **announced** package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference; **notes, however, that such a law should aim to create a level playing field for interest representation in the European Union; believes thus that the introduction of a mandatory registration for all professional and regularly active interest representatives towards all EU institutions could serve to protect the EU institutions from non-transparent interest representation, which poses a high risk of corruption and a risk to the integrity of the EU institutions;**

Or. en

## **Amendment 386**

**Morten Løkkegaard, Petras Auštrevičius, Anna Júlia Donáth, Bart Groothuis, Nathalie Loiseau**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

38. Welcomes the Commission's proposed package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at

##### *Amendment*

38. Welcomes the Commission's proposed package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at



introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference;

introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference; ***in this regard, calls on the Commission to ensure proper impact assessments are carried out before presenting new recommendations and legislative proposals;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 387**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 38**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

38. ***Welcomes the Commission's proposed*** package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference;

###### *Amendment*

38. ***Notes the proposal by the Commission for a*** package on the defence of democracy, including a directive, aimed at introducing common transparency and accountability standards for interest representation services directed or paid for from outside the EU, contributing to the proper functioning of the internal market and protecting the EU democratic sphere from covert outside interference;

Or. fr

### **Amendment 388**

**Sabrina Pignedoli, Maria Angela Danzi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Mario Furore, Tiziana Beghin**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 39**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

39. Welcomes the Commission's recommendation on covert interference

###### *Amendment*

39. Welcomes the Commission's recommendation on covert interference

from non-EU countries within the framework of the initiative on the defence of democracy, which would complement the directive and establish harmonised transparency requirements for the provision of services from outside the EU;

from non-EU countries within the framework of the initiative on the defence of democracy, which would complement the directive and establish harmonised transparency requirements for the provision of services from outside the EU;  
*calls on the Commission to perform an impact assessment before presenting the proposal for a directive, in accordance with its obligations under the interinstitutional agreement on better law-making;*

Or. it

### **Amendment 389**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 39**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

39. Welcomes the Commission's recommendation on covert interference from non-EU countries within the framework of the initiative on the defence of democracy, which would complement the directive and establish harmonised transparency requirements for the provision of services from outside the EU;

###### *Amendment*

39. Welcomes the Commission's recommendation on covert interference from non-EU countries within the framework of the initiative on the defence of democracy, which would complement the directive and establish harmonised transparency requirements for the provision of services from outside the EU;  
*further welcomes the complementing recommendation on secure and resilient elections and the recommendation on increasing support and engagement for civil society organisations;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 390**

**Balázs Hidvéghi, Jorge Buxadé Villalba, Jean-Paul Garraud, Aurélia Beigneux**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 39 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**39 a.** *Calls for a review of the current rules governing NGOs, particularly those related to their involvement in EU decision-making processes and their financing; calls on the Commission to demand maximum transparency and accountability from all NGOs, with special regard to those that receive EU money, and to stop giving privileges and exemptions to them;*

Or. en

**Amendment 391**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

40. Welcomes the Commission's planned anti-corruption package, including the proposal to update the EU rules on fighting corruption through criminal law;

40. Welcomes the Commission's planned anti-corruption package, including the proposal to update the EU rules on fighting corruption through criminal law; *calls, in this regard, to ensure that failure to file a declaration of assets, failure to declare a significant part of assets or providing a false assessment of assets is punishable;*

Or. en

**Amendment 392**

**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 40 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**40 a.** *Calls on the Member States to consider foreign interference in the*

*democratic processes of the European Union as interference in the democratic process of their own State; affirms the need for solidarity between the Member States and the European institutions in order to be able to fight effectively against this type of act; calls for Article 222 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to be amended to include foreign interference;*

Or. en

**Amendment 393**

**Aurélia Beigneux, Nicolaus Fest, Jean-Paul Garraud**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**41. Calls on the Commission to come forward with a legislative proposal to amend the current EU global human rights sanctions regime by extending its scope to include acts of corruption; underlines the importance of ensuring that this EU legislation also targets economic and financial sources of corruption and human rights abuses;** **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 394**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**41. Calls on the Commission to come forward with a legislative proposal to amend the current EU global human rights sanctions regime by extending its scope to include acts of corruption;** **deleted**

*underlines the importance of ensuring that this EU legislation also targets economic and financial sources of corruption and human rights abuses;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 395**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 41**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

41. Calls on the Commission *to come forward with a legislative proposal to amend the current* EU global human rights sanctions regime *by extending its scope to include acts of* corruption; *underlines the importance of ensuring that this EU legislation also targets economic and financial sources of corruption and human rights abuses;*

###### *Amendment*

41. Calls on the Commission *and the Council to make more active use of the* EU Global Human Rights Sanctions Regime *and establish a new sanctions regime dedicated to targeting individuals and entities responsible for large-scale* corruption;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 396**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 42**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of

###### *Amendment*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment *before the summer recess* in order to ensure *the proper implementation of standards of public office laid down in*

procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body;

***the Code of Conduct for Members and other rules adopted by Parliament and its bodies by investigating and proposing sanctions, as well as more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body; supports a design that is open to the participation of all institutions and agencies of the EU, yet stresses, in light of the upcoming European elections, the need to finalise the negotiations on the body's structure, governance, name, composition and powers and ensure the body is fully operational by the end of the year; is of the opinion that while Parliament stands open to a broad participation, Commission and Parliament shall ensure the negotiations are not delayed by other institutions;***

Or. en

**Amendment 397**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42**

*Motion for a resolution*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of

*Amendment*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; ***believes that, in order to ensure consistent application of the ethical standards and predictability, decisions by the ethics body should be binding;*** calls for the institutions to

procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body;

promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to **harmonise and** ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body; **regrets that the measures adopted so far by the Parliament do not include proposals for a credible reform of the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; commits to reforming the Advisory Committee in line with its proposal for an independent ethics body, until the independent EU ethics body is able to take over the Advisory Committee's current role;**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 398**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso, Tonino Picula**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 42**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; ***calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs***

##### *Amendment*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; ***expects the inter-institutional negotiations on the Ethics Body to be successfully concluded by summer 2023, as requested in the EP resolution on the establishment of an independent EU ethics body of 16 February 2023; Insists that the Ethics Body shall be established by an IIA***

*have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body;*

*with clear legal basis;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 399**

**Nathalie Loiseau, Abir Al-Sahlani, Anna Júlia Donáth, Morten Løkkegaard, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Iskra Mihaylova**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 42**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body;

##### *Amendment*

42. Welcomes the Commission's commitment, in February 2023, to swiftly drawing up a proposal on the establishment of an independent, interinstitutional EU ethics body; calls for the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more consistency with regard to ethical obligations between the different rules of procedure and codes of conduct of the institutions; ***calls for the EU ethics body's mandate to allow it to have a pro-active role, while respecting the immunity of Members***; reiterates Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that MEPs have swift, easy and systematic access to advice on possible conflicts of interest from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this should only be a temporary solution, to be in place prior to the establishment of the independent EU ethics body;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 400**

**Vladimír Bilčík, Lukas Mandl, David Lega, Sunčana Glavak, Benoît Lutgen, Andrey**



**Kovatchev, Sabine Verheyen, Javier Zarzalejos**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 a. Calls on the EEAS and EU Delegations in third countries to strengthen further their respective capacities in fighting and countering disinformation and propaganda linked to influencing EU's CFSP as well as the European Parliament's role in CFSP; recalls that a pro-active strategic communication is key in countering and eliminating undue foreign influence in the EU; in this regard, underlines the importance of strengthening interinstitutional relations and cooperation;**

Or. en

**Amendment 401  
Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 a. Recalls that the ethics body should have the right to begin investigations on its own and to conduct on-the-spot and records-based investigations using the information that it has collected or that it has received from third parties; recalls that the body should also have the possibility to check the veracity of declarations of financial interests and assets;**

Or. en

**Amendment 402**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 a. Believes that the independent EU Ethics Body should be given appropriate investigative powers, as well as the power to request and have access to administrative documents, in order to allow it to carry out well-reasoned and well-documented assessments;**

Or. en

**Amendment 403**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Włodzimierz Cimoszewicz, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 42 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 b. Considers that the principle of the separation of powers should be respected while establishing the functions of the new Body, laying down distinct rights and obligations for each institution; calls for procedural guarantees and the respect the principles of presumption of innocence, the right to be heard Statute of Members and notably the freedom of mandate to be respected; is of the opinion that the Ethics Body shall not duplicate or interfere with the work of OLAF, the EPPO, the European Ombudsman, the European Court of Auditors or the European Court of Justice;**

Or. en

**Amendment 404  
Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 b. Notes that in its December resolution, Parliament called for the Transparency Register to be made mandatory; deplores that no further steps have been proposed to that effect and calls in particular for the development of enforcement measures and sanctions procedures for violations of the rules of the register;**

Or. en

**Amendment 405**  
**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 c. Reaffirms that the decision on conflicts of interest of designated Commissioners prior to hearings remains a democratic and institutional competence of Parliament's Committee on Legal Affairs;**

Or. en

**Amendment 406**  
**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42 d. Calls on the institutions to promptly agree on the terms of its establishment in order to ensure more coherence on ethical obligations between**

*the different rules of procedures or in Code of Conduct of the institutions; welcomes the European Parliament's intention to unilaterally ensure that Members get advice, easily and speedily, on possible conflicts of interests on a systematic basis from the Advisory Committee on the Conduct of Members; underlines that this solution should only be temporary prior the establishment of the independent EU Ethics Body;*

Or. en

**Amendment 407**  
**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**43. Calls on the Secretariat of the Transparency Register to ban any entities with direct or indirect relations with the Government of the Russian Federation, pursuant to the Council Decision of 3 June 2022 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine<sup>10</sup> and the conduct of Russia's illegal war against Ukraine; calls for a similar approach to be applied when it comes to entities linked with China;**

**deleted**

---

<sup>10</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/884 of 3 June 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine. OJ L 153, 3.6.2022, p. 128.

Or. en

**Amendment 408**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

43. Calls on the Secretariat of the Transparency Register to ban any entities with direct or indirect relations with the Government of the Russian Federation, pursuant to the Council Decision of 3 June 2022 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine<sup>10</sup> and the conduct of Russia's illegal war against Ukraine; calls for *a similar* approach to be applied when it comes to entities linked with China;

---

<sup>10</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/884 of 3 June 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine. OJ L 153, 3.6.2022, p. 128.

*Amendment*

43. Calls on the Secretariat of the Transparency Register to ban any entities with direct or indirect relations with the Government of the Russian Federation, pursuant to the Council Decision of 3 June 2022 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine<sup>10</sup> and the conduct of Russia's illegal war against Ukraine; calls for *further deliberations on an* approach to be applied when it comes to entities linked with China; *notes that the Conference of Presidents has taken the decision that diplomats and government representatives from China shall not be invited to Parliament;*

---

<sup>10</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/884 of 3 June 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine. OJ L 153, 3.6.2022, p. 128.

Or. en

**Amendment 409**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

43. Calls on the Secretariat of the Transparency Register to ban any entities with direct or indirect relations with the Government of the Russian Federation, pursuant to the Council Decision of 3 June

*Amendment*

43. Calls on the Secretariat of the Transparency Register to ban any entities with direct or indirect relations with the Government of the Russian Federation, pursuant to the Council Decision of 3 June

2022 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine<sup>10</sup> and the conduct of Russia's illegal war against Ukraine; calls for a similar approach to be applied when it comes to entities linked with China;

---

<sup>10</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/884 of 3 June 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine. OJ L 153, 3.6.2022, p. 128.

2022 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine<sup>10</sup> and the conduct of Russia's illegal war ***of aggression*** against Ukraine; calls for a similar approach to be applied when it comes to entities linked with China, ***and other states that aim to conduct malign foreign interference in the European Affairs***

---

<sup>10</sup> Council Decision (CFSP) 2022/884 of 3 June 2022 amending Decision 2014/512/CFSP concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine. OJ L 153, 3.6.2022, p. 128.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 410**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 43 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***43 a. Calls for the reformation of the EU Transparency Register to include automatic and immediate suspension from the EU Transparency Register whenever the registered person or organisation represents, directly or as an intermediary, interests of governments, dependent entities or companies in strategic sectors of countries under judicial investigation for foreign interference or conflicts of interest, in order to prevent them from being in contact with legislators and the executive during EU policy making and implementation; also calls for a prohibition on re-registration as a sanction when the facts under investigation are deemed to be proven;***

Or. en

**Amendment 411**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**43 b. Calls for the Union's mandatory Transparency Register to be strengthened with human and material resources as well as rules, so that it can act and take effective action when registered interest representatives, or those they represent, are under investigation;**

Or. en

**Amendment 412**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Anna Júlia Donáth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**43 c. Suggests that the rules and principles that make up the Code of Conduct applicable to interest representatives listed in the Transparency Register be amended to add abuse of registration for geo-strategic and reputational gain to the list of reprehensible conducts envisaged, in particular to that already envisaged in point (d), which provides for abuse for commercial gain; also suggests including a point against the use of the register to carry out aggressive interference operations against our democracies or to facilitate situations of conflict of interest in the institutions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 413**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***43 d. Calls for the EU Transparency Register to present its annual report to the European Parliament in order to report on incidents and needs related to the risk of foreign interference and for recommendations to be drawn up to improve its functioning and ensure transparency in decision-making and the legislative process;***

Or. en

**Amendment 414**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***44. Calls for the conditions governing MEPs' exercise of parliamentary immunity to be harmonised between the different Member States; calls, in this respect, for a review of Protocol No 7 to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union on the privileges and immunities of the European Union;***

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 415**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 45**



*Motion for a resolution*

45. Resolves to strengthen its dialogue and cooperation with the intelligence, judicial and law enforcement authorities of the three Member States in which it is located, in order to ensure Parliament's security and protect it against attempted interference from non-EU countries; calls for appropriate cooperation with other Member State security services, as deemed necessary;

*Amendment*

45. Resolves to strengthen its dialogue and cooperation with the intelligence, judicial and law enforcement authorities of the three Member States in which it is located, in order to ensure **the European** Parliament's security **and integrity** and protect it against attempted interference from non-EU countries; calls for appropriate cooperation with other Member State security services, as deemed necessary;

Or. en

**Amendment 416**

**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 45 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**45 a. Calls on the security services of every Member States to systematically notify the competent European authorities and the security services of the States in which the European Parliament is located of any information they may obtain relating to foreign interference in the democratic processes in the Union;**

Or. en

**Amendment 417**

**Lukas Mandl**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 45 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**45 a. Recommends a much stronger cooperation among the Member States'**

*intelligence bodies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 418**  
**Benoît Lutgen, Vladimír Bilčík**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**45 b. Calls on the Member States to supplement their criminal legislation in order to introduce the offense of foreign interference, including in the democratic process within the European institutions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 419**  
**Lukas Mandl**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**45 b. Requests much more capacity in the field of intelligence for the European External Action Service (EEAS);**

Or. en

**Amendment 420**  
**Lukas Mandl**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**45 c. Requests a fully-fledged involvement of the European Parliament**

**Amendment 421**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from non-EU countries; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and surveillance technologies industries legally accountable;

*Amendment*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the ***use, servicing and*** procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from ***EU and*** non-EU countries; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and surveillance technologies industries legally accountable ***and ban the import and export of EU made spyware to governments that commit serious human rights violations;***

**Amendment 422**

**Clare Daly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from non-EU countries; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and

*Amendment*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from ***non-EU countries and the export of spyware and surveillance tools to*** non-EU countries; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to

surveillance technologies industries legally accountable;

hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and surveillance technologies industries legally accountable;

Or. en

### **Amendment 423**

**Andreas Schieder, Nacho Sánchez Amor, Mercedes Bresso**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 46**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from non-EU countries; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and surveillance technologies industries legally accountable;

###### *Amendment*

46. Calls for the EU institutions to work towards tighter internal regulations among the Member States regarding the procurement of spyware and surveillance tools from non-EU countries ***and to assess the spyware and surveillance tools currently in use***; notes that the EU should use existing regulatory measures to hold malign international operators in the commercial spyware and surveillance technologies industries legally accountable;

Or. en

### **Amendment 424**

**Nathalie Loiseau, Abir Al-Sahlani, Morten Løkkegaard, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Iskra Mihaylova**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 46 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

***46 a. Calls Parliament to revise the list of sanctionable activities for Members on the basis of this report; calls for appropriate warnings and reminders to be put in place for MEPs who do not comply and, after a reasonable delay, appropriate sanctions; notes in particular the need to adapt the rules of procedures of the European Parliament in order to allow***

*for penalties beyond the disruption of the plenary session;*

Or. en

**Amendment 425**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Sandro Gozi, Anna Júlia Donáth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 46 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***46 a. Recalls that existing EU measures against Russian TV channels should be fully implemented to counter Russian propaganda more effectively;***

Or. en