# E-MANIFEST USER FEES FINAL RULE & API PRESENTATION

MONTHLY WEBINAR SERIES
JANUARY 2018

### **AGENDA**

- Quick Update on e-Manifest
- e-Manifest User Fee Final Rule
  - Background
  - Key Issues Addressed in the Final Rule
  - Economics of the Rule
- API Presentation



### QUICK UPDATE ON E-MANIFEST

- System Development
  - Release 2 of e-Manifest to be completed in January. Release 2 functionality includes:
    - Ability to save, update and retrieve a manifest via website and through a system-to-system interface
    - Generators, transporters, and receiving facilities have the ability to sign manifests electronically
    - State user functionality in the web application
- Advisory Board
  - Anticipate within the next few days the release of the final Advisory Board recommendations/meeting minutes from the September 2017 Advisory Board public meeting
- User Fee Final Rule
  - Final rule completed in December 2017 and published today (83 FR 420); FAQs available on e-Manifest website
- Communication/Implementation Activities
  - Redesigned e-Manifest website (<u>www.epa.gov/e-manifest</u>)
  - Regional/state meetings ongoing; upcoming industry conference in California in February
  - API technical workshops for industry in November; further API webinars in January

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 2012 e-Manifest Act required a fee-funded HW tracking system
  - National system to collect electronic and paper manifests
  - Conferred discretion on EPA to impose reasonable and necessary service fees
- Purposes of e-Manifest:
  - Reduce paperwork burdens of current manifest system,
  - Facilitate transition to use of electronic manifests, and
  - Establish one-stop, national hub for reporting all manifest data.
- Several fee and funding provisions in the Act:
  - System Fund (M3) in Treasury for fee deposits
  - Spending authority to extent of appropriations
  - Provisions for minimizing surplus and adjusting fees

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 2012 Act required implementing regulations within one year
  - One Year Rule issued in February 2014
  - First rule established the legal and policy framework for e-Manifest
  - User Fees deferred to second rule
- Proposed User Fee Rule published on July 26, 2016
  - Proposed methodology for determining and revising fees
  - Proposed an informal fee schedule publication process
  - Relied on Circular A-25 and GAO's Federal User Fee Design Guide
  - Addressed several non-fee issues
- In response, EPA received 25 sets of public comments
- Final rule signed by the EPA Administrator on December 20, 2017
- Rule will be effective June 30, 2018, to coincide with e-Manifest system launch

### KEY ISSUES IN FINAL RULE

- 1. Which users and transactions will be subject to fees?
- 2. How and when will users pay their fees?
- 3. What formula and costs involved in determining fees?
- 4. How will fee revisions be handled?
- 5. How will fee schedules be published?
- 6. What sanctions for non-payment?
- 7. Should chemical security concern limit public access?
- 8. Should we phase out use of paper?
- 9. What other matters are addressed in the rule?
- 10. What are effects on state programs?

### 1. USERS AND TRANSACTIONS SUBJECT TO FEES

- <u>Users</u>: Rule distinguishes regulated users of manifests from data consumers
  - Only the ~400 commercial facilities that receive HW from off-site will pay fees
  - Consist of RCRA TSDFs and non-RCRA facilities receiving state-regulated wastes
  - Data consumers (general public, states) will not pay fees as incidental beneficiaries
- <u>Transactions</u>: Fees owed for the submission of final, signed copies of manifests to the system by receiving facilities.
  - EPA accepted comments objecting to fees for continuation sheets, for data corrections, for handling stray or irrelevant documents, or help desk encounters
  - Import manifests covered, but <u>not</u> export manifests (foreign consignee)
  - Fee also owed by facilities for submission of return shipment manifests to system
    - Shipments arise from rejections by facilities with return of wastes to generator
    - If rejected wastes forwarded to alternate facilities, alternate facility pays fee

#### 2. HOW AND WHEN USERS WILL PAY FEES

# e-Manifest

- Receivers will be invoiced monthly for their previous month's manifest usage.
- Treasury's Pay.gov e-billing services will generate invoices.
- Facilities will log on to Pay.gov to pay invoices.
- Payment methods supported: credit card, debit card, ACH debit.
- In response to comments, there will not be an advance payment option.
- EPA will post payment instructions on the program website:

www.epa.gov/e-manifest

### 3. FORMULA AND COSTS INVOLVED WITH FEES

- The Rule's fee formula determines a "per manifest" fee by allocating costs to manifests in use
- Program costs used in formula:
  - System setup costs (costs incurred prior to start-up),
  - Operations and Maintenance costs (costs incurred after start-up), and
  - Indirect costs (overhead, non-lead offices' support, upper mgmt. support, etc.)
    - Determined as a % factor (33.22%) applied to our direct costs
- Rule provides a "differential fee formula" with differentiated fees based on the type of manifest
  - Marginal labor cost of data processing is key distinguishing feature
  - Rule imposes differential fee for four distinct types of manifest submissions:
    - Electronic manifests and three types from paper: mailed forms, image file upload, and data file upload
- Rule provides that fee formula will pivot to a more aggressive fee should we not attain goal of 75% electronic manifest usage after four years

### 3. FORMULA AND COSTS INVOLVED WITH FEES

### e-Manifest

#### For initial four years:

$$Fee_i = \left(\frac{System\ Setup\ Cost}{Years \times N_t}\right) + \left(Marginal\ Cost_i + \frac{O\&M\ Cost}{N_t}\right) \times (1 + Indirect\ Cost\ Factor)$$

- System Setup Cost = Procurement Cost + EPA Program Cost
- O&M Cost = Electronic System O&M Cost + Paper Center O&M Cost + Help Desk Cost + EPA Program Cost + CROMERR Cost + LifeCycle Cost to Modify or Upgrade eManfiest System Related Services

If fee pivots after four years:

$$Fee_i = \left(\frac{System\ Setup\ Cost}{Years\ \times\ N_t}\right) + \left(Marginal\ Cost_i + \frac{O\&M_i\ Cost}{N_i}\right) \times (1 + Indirect\ Cost\ Factor)$$

- Fee; represents the per manifest fee for each manifest submission type "i," and
- $N_t$  refers to the total number of manifests completed in a year,
- Ni refers to number of manifests of type "i"

### 4. HOW FEE REVISIONS WILL BE HANDLED

- The final rule adopts much of the proposed rule's approach to fee trajectory:
  - We will publish fee schedules covering a two-year cycle
  - We will re-run the formula every two years with latest program cost and manifest numbers
  - We will publish the resulting fee schedules to <a href="https://www.epa.gov/e-Manifest">www.epa.gov/e-Manifest</a>
- The fee formula methodology remains durable, so that we do not need to utilize notice-andcomment rulemaking in future fee proceedings
- The fee revisions process includes adjusters to address areas of revenue vulnerability:
  - Inflation adjuster, based on CPFU, and
  - Revenue recapture adjuster for losses from inaccurate manifest use estimates
- The final rule does <u>not</u> include the proposed adjuster that would have recovered revenue from unpaid manifests

### 5. HOW FEE SCHEDULES WILL BE PUBLISHED

- For regular fee revisions, we will publish the revised schedules to the program's web site, with 90 days notice.
- For the initial two-year cycle that begins at system start-up:
  - Final Rule preamble includes table of estimated fees, based on best available cost data
- Initial fee schedule will not be determined fully until EPA's FY 2018 budget is set and all system contracts awarded.
  - Estimated schedule of initial fees in Final Rule preamble
  - Tentative fees updated on program website as budget and contracts determined
  - Final fee schedule published prior to system launch
- Current estimates of initial system fees:
  - Electronic (inc. hybrid): \$ 4.00
  - Data file upload: \$ 7.00
  - Image file upload: \$ 13.00
  - Mailed paper forms: \$ 20.00

#### 6. SANCTIONS FOR NON-PAYMENT

- First tier of sanctions consists of financial penalties from federal claims collection statute.
  - Combined Value of Funds Rate (now 1%) when unpaid 30 days after invoice
  - Additional 6% penalty when unpaid 120 days after invoice
- Second tier sanction is a civil enforcement penalty or order
  - Tied to violation of requirement for all manifests to be perfected by receiving facilities
  - "Perfected" means that facilities submit all manifests and pay their fees
  - EPA will exercise its enforcement discretion
- EPA did not finalize the other proposed sanctions from the final rule:
  - Delinquent payers' list,
  - Denial of manifest services, and
  - Withdrawal of authority to operate, suspension of ID#s, permit sanctions.

### 7. ADDRESSING CHEMICAL SECURITY CONCERN

- In proposed Fee Rule, EPA discussed public access in context of who should pay
- Two commenters suggested public access could give rise to chemical security risk:
  - Concern regarding access for info on sites with DHS chemicals of interest (COI) per 6 CFR part 27
  - Concern regarding info on shipment patterns involving these COI
- By DHS Rules, the scope of wastes at issue is limited to the so-called P-List and U-List RCRA wastes that
  are like pure chemical substances when discarded
  - A subset (58) of the P- and U-List wastes are included on the DHS COI Appendix
- After consulting with DHS, the final rule solution includes masking from public review selected information regarding those manifests with P- and U-List wastes that are also on the DHS COI List
  - For these manifests: waste names, waste codes, quantities, & ship dates not disclosed to public

#### 8. PHASE OUT OF PAPER

- The e-Manifest Act is paper-tolerant, with users electing to use paper or electronic
- The Act requires that EPA's regulations facilitate the transition to electronic manifests
  - Phase I approach and hybrid manifest are examples of initial transition strategy
- Final rule adopts 3-year phase-out of mailed paper submissions by facilities
  - Most TSDF comments supported phase out of mailed manifests most costly to process
- Final rule also suggests an evaluation in three years of electronic vs. paper trends
  - Goal: Elimination of all paper manifest use in 5 years
  - Also consider fate of hybrid manifest and its phase-out if warranted
  - Commits to referring results of evaluation to the Hazardous Waste e-Manifest System Advisory Board for their input
    - Examine trends and reasons for or impediments to greater use of electronic documents,
    - Consider what incentives or restrictions might be appropriate to facilitate transition to fully electronic system

### 9. OTHER MATTERS ADDRESSED IN THE RULE

- 1. Amendment to transporter regulation to allow initial transporter to add or substitute additional transporters on manifest while shipment en route
- 2. Any interested person can make corrections to a manifest at any time— an open process; no time limit
- 3. Amendment to generator regulation to allow use of mixed paper/electronic (hybrid) manifest at generator sites
  - Generator could retain ink signed paper copy, with remainder of transaction electronic

### 9. OTHER MATTERS ADDRESSED IN THE RULE

- 4. Codification of Act's section 2(h) "long-arm" provision
  - If manifest required by origination or destination state, receiving facilities must close/submit
- 5. Changes to manifest form and printing spec. for copy distribution
  - 5-copy form with top copy earmarked for submission to EPA system by designated facility
  - Notice to non-RCRA facilities of their responsibilities under the e-Manifest Act
- 6. Removal of manifest forms and instructions from CFR appendix
- 7. Rule announces system start-up date and effective date for rules: June 30, 2018

#### 10. EFFECT ON STATE PROGRAMS

- Section 2(g) of Act provides that regulations issued under Act's authority shall take effect in all states on the effective date, and be administered initially by EPA
  - Operates similar to HSWA provisions Congress enacted in 1984
  - States will acquire primacy when they obtain RCRA authorization for program changes
- Fee provisions of rule can only be administered by EPA non-delegable
- Similar to HSWA, Rule provides that the immediately effective federal rules supersede states' existing laws that are less stringent or inconsistent
  - Uniformity in use of manifest has been program feature for years
- Rule also implements decision made in 2014 One Year Rule that on system's launch date, facilities must submit the Top Copy of final manifest to system
  - Includes conforming change to form printing specifications a five-copy form
  - States should obtain copies from system, not facilities
  - Congress intended e-Manifest to be the national reporting hub for collecting manifests
- EPA will soon issue authorization guidance and checklists to states
  - Will explain which requirements are authorizable, and which are essential for state programs

#### **IN SUMMARY**

- Any manifest that begins (signed by the generator) on or after June 30, 2018, needs to use the updated manifest form (EPA Form 8700-22) that will be available from registered printers
  - "Old" manifest forms cannot be used after June 30, 2018.
- Receiving facilities need to submit manifest forms for federal or state regulated waste to EPA within 30 days.
- All manifest submissions will be tracked by the system and result in monthly invoices.
- Invoices will bill facilities the applicable fee for each manifest by submission type.
  - Final user fees to be announced by EPA ahead of system launch.
- Receiving facilities will go on-line to Pay.gov and pay invoices electronically.
- Data may be corrected at any time post-receipt.

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACTS**

- Affected Universe:
  - Approximately 215,000 industrial entities comprised of generators, transporters, and TSDFs.
  - 33 state governments with manifest programs or requirements, who will interact with the system.
  - Approximately 3,300,000 hazardous waste manifests circulated annually.
- Cost Savings:
  - Annualized net cost savings of \$66 million when discounting at 7% over 6 years; \$90 million in costs savings annually once electronic manifests are widely adopted.
- Key Assumptions in the Analysis:
  - Count of manifests
  - Rate of e-Manifest adoption among industry

#### API DEMONSTRATION AND UPDATE

- In November we held two API technical workshops
  - We went over the registration, process workflows, API keys, JSON schema, sample client, and answered a lot of questions
- We are holding another API workshop (online) at the end of January.
  - We will go over services focusing on submitting paper manifests via services
  - Schema Updates
  - Discuss again, registration, API keys, available services (recap)
- Today we will demonstrate the Update Service

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

- Submit input/questions to <u>eManifest@epa.gov</u>
- Subscribe to the general interest e-Manifest ListServ; send a blank message to: <u>eManifest-subscribe@lists.epa.gov</u>
- Subscribe to the development-focused e-Manifest ListServ; send a blank email to: e-ManifestDev-subscribe@lists.epa.gov
- Visit EPA's Manifest Program webpage at: www.epa.gov/e-manifest
- Track the progress of e-Manifest at: https://github.com/USEPA/e-manifest/