

CINDY COHN (SBN 145997)
cindy@eff.org
LEE TIEN (SBN 148216)
KURT OPSAHL (SBN 191303)
JAMES S. TYRE (SBN 083117)
MARK RUMOLD (SBN 279060)
ANDREW CROCKER (SBN 291596)
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
815 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
Telephone: (415) 436-9333
Fax: (415) 436-9993

RACHAEL E. MENY (SBN 178514)
rmeny@kvn.com
PAULA L. BLIZZARD (SBN 207920)
MICHAEL S. KWUN (SBN 198945)
AUDREY WALTON-HADLOCK (SBN 250574)
BENJAMIN W. BERKOWITZ (SBN 244441)
JUSTINA K. SESSIONS (SBN 270914)
KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
633 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Fax: (415) 397-7188

RICHARD R. WIEBE (SBN 121156)
wiebe@pacbell.net
LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE
One California Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 433-3200
Fax: (415) 433-6382

THOMAS E. MOORE III (SBN 115107)
tmoore@royselaw.com
ROYSE LAW FIRM, PC
1717 Embarcadero Road
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 813-9700
Fax: (650) 813-9777

ARAM ANTARAMIAN (SBN 239070)
aram@eff.org
LAW OFFICE OF ARAM ANTARAMIAN
1714 Blake Street
Berkeley, CA 94703
Telephone: (510) 289-1626

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CAROLYN JEWEL, TASH HEPTING, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

FIRST UNITARIAN CHURCH OF LOS ANGELES, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 08-cv-4373-JSW
CASE NO. 13-cv-3287-JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Date: March 19, 2014
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Hon. Jeffrey S. White
Courtroom 11 - 19th Floor

1 This matter is now before the Court pursuant to the Court's March 10, 2014 order granting
2 plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order and setting a briefing and hearing schedule
3 regarding evidence preservation. After receiving briefing from the parties, the Court held a hearing
4 in this matter on March 19, 2014. Having considered the briefing and arguments of the parties, the
5 Court now orders as follows:

6 1. The Court reaffirms and enforces the existing evidence preservation order in *Jewel, et al.*
7 *v. NSA, et al.* (ECF No. 51 in No. 08-cv-4373-JSW). The Court reaffirms that this order extends to
8 all of plaintiffs' communications content and communications records claims, including claims
9 related to telephone records (also sometimes called "call detail records," "telephone metadata," or
10 "BR Metadata"), Internet metadata records, Internet or telephone content data. The Court reaffirms
11 that this order extends to telephone records, Internet metadata records, Internet or telephone
12 content data without regard to when the government obtained them or the legal authority under
13 which the government obtained them, whether under orders of the Foreign Intelligence
14 Surveillance Court or otherwise. The order extends specifically to the telephone records the
15 government proposes to destroy (ECF No. 85 in No. 13-cv-3287-JSW) and all similar records. The
16 order also extends by its terms to all other materials potentially relevant or reasonably anticipated
17 to be subject to discovery in the *Jewel v. NSA* action.

18 2. In *First Unitarian Church of Los Angeles, et al. v. NSA, et al.*, No. 13-CV-3287-JSW,
19 the Court enters the following evidence preservation order, based on the *Jewel v. NSA* preservation
20 order:

21 A. The Court reminds all parties of their duty to preserve evidence that may be relevant
22 to this action. The duty extends to documents, data and tangible things in the
23 possession, custody and control of the parties to this action, and any employees,
24 agents, contractors, carriers, bailees or other non-parties who possess materials
25 reasonably anticipated to be subject to discovery in this action. Counsel are under
26 an obligation to exercise efforts to identify and notify such non-parties, including
27 employees of corporate or institutional parties.

1 B. “Documents, data and tangible things” is to be interpreted broadly to include
2 writings, records, files, correspondence, reports, memoranda, calendars, diaries,
3 minutes, electronic messages, voicemail, e-mail, telephone message records or logs,
4 computer and network activity logs, hard drives, backup data, removable computer
5 storage media such as tapes, disks and cards, printouts, document image files, web
6 pages, databases, spreadsheets, software, books, ledgers, journals, orders, invoices,
7 bills, vouchers, checks, statements, worksheets, summaries, compilations,
8 computations, charts, diagrams, graphic presentations, drawings, films, digital or
9 chemical process photographs, video, phonographic, tape or digital recordings or
10 transcripts thereof, drafts, jottings and notes. Information that serves to identify,
11 locate, or link such material, such as file inventories, file folders, indices and
12 metadata, is also included in this definition.

13 C. “Preservation” is to be interpreted broadly to accomplish the goal of maintaining the
14 integrity of all documents, data and tangible things reasonably anticipated to be
15 subject to discovery under FRCP 26, 45 and 56(e) in this action. Preservation
16 includes taking reasonable steps to prevent the partial or full destruction, alteration,
17 testing, deletion, shredding, incineration, wiping, relocation, migration, theft, or
18 mutation of such material, as well as negligent or intentional handling that would
19 make material incomplete or inaccessible.

20 D. Counsel are directed to inquire of their respective clients if the business or
21 government practices of any party involve the routine destruction, recycling,
22 relocation, or mutation of such materials and, if so, direct the party, to the extent
23 practicable for the pendency of this order, either to

- 24 (1) halt such business or government practices;
25 (2) sequester or remove such material from the business or government
26 practices; or
27 (3) arrange for the preservation of complete and accurate duplicates or copies of
28 such material, suitable for later discovery if requested.

1 3. Nothing in this order or in the Court’s prior evidence preservation orders shall be
2 construed as authorizing any review or use of telephone records or other materials subject to the
3 Court’s preservation orders for intelligence-gathering or any other non-litigation purposes. The
4 Court takes note that the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court has imposed this restriction on the
5 government’s retention of records for litigation purposes, directing that telephone (“BR”) metadata
6 records “otherwise required to be destroyed under the five-year limitation on retention . . . may be
7 preserved and/or stored in a format that precludes any access or use by NSA intelligence analysts
8 for any purpose, including to conduct contact chaining queries of the BR metadata approved under
9 the applicable ‘reasonable, articulable suspicion’ standards for the purpose of obtaining foreign
10 intelligence information.” FISC Order of March 12, 2014 in Docket No. BR 14-01.

11 4. The Court orders and directs that within 15 days from the date of this order the
12 government defendants shall disclose to the Court and to plaintiffs what they have done to comply
13 with the Court’s preservation orders, and to disclose whether they have destroyed telephone
14 records, Internet metadata records, Internet or telephone content data, or any other evidence
15 potentially relevant to these lawsuits since the commencement of the related *Hepting, et al. v.*
16 *AT&T, et al.* litigation (No. 06-cv-0672-VRW) in January 2006.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: _____

HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE