#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 295 559 HE 021 511

AUTHOR Zikopoulos, Marianthi, Ed.

TITLE U.S. Students Abroad: Statistics on Study Abroad,

1985/86. IIE Research Report Number 16.

INSTITUTION Institute of International Education, New York,

N.Y.

CNS AGENCY United States Information Agency, Washington, D.

C.

REPORT NO ISBN-87206-170-1

PUB DATE 88 NOTE 44p.

AVAILABLE FROM Institute of International Education, 809 United

Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Educational Assessment; Foreign Students; Higher

Education; \*International Educational Exchange; \*International Programs; International Relations; Statistical Surveys; Student Characteristics;

\*Student Mobility; \*Study Abroad

IDENTIFIERS Overseas Activities

#### **ABSTRACT**

Statistics are provided on U.S. students overseas, with data coming from the Study Abroad Survey, a survey of 2,896 accredited U.S. institutions of higher education with 1,898 responding for a 65% response rate. Details are provided on the characteristics and destinations of the 48,483 students abroad. Reasons for the increase in study abroad include a desire among American youth in the 1970s and 1980s to understand a world and network of international relationships offering exciting opportunities and perils, the increasing strength of the American dollar against foreign currencies, and a softening of U.S. cultural attitudes toward foreign lands. Obstacles to such study include doubts about the academic rigor of such programs, suspicion about non-academic motives of program promoters, and regret at loss of time spent on the home campus. The predominant direction of student mobility in the world today is from Third World countries to the host countries of the West. Important outflows from the western countries are also occurring, but data have been scarce about U.S. students overseas. This study provides statistics on world region (with the vast majority of study abroad students in Western Europe); leading countries (with the highest being the United Kingdom, France, Spain, and Italy); field of study (led by liberal arts and foreign languages); duration of study (more than one-third staying for one semester); and gender (two-thirds female). Statistical data are provided on university name, city, and study abroad student count. (SM)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



# U.S. Students Abroad



# U.S. Students Abroad

STATISTICS ON STUDY ABROAD, 1985/86

Edited by MARIANTHI ZIKOPOULOS

Introduction by CRAUFURD D. GOODWIN

The Institute gratefully acknowledges special grant support from the United States Information Agency for the implementation of the Study Abroad Survey.







Copyright © 1988, institute of International Education All Rights Reserved ISBN 87206 - 170 - 1 Printed in the United States



## **Introduction**

This new publication documents the growth of one of the most vibrant segments of American academic life in recent years — study abroad, or the movement of American students in pursuit of education overseas. Everyone who has examined this phenomenon has been impressed if not startled by its vigor and dynamism. Whereas for decades study abroad was a quiet backwater now it is the crest of a wave. Here for the first time, data are presented to portra, the picture accurately and begin the construction of time series that will record the evolution of study abroad through the years to come.

Even though it is not possible now to specify with confidence the precise magnitude of the growth of study abroad in recent years, few doubt that it has been very great. The obvious question, then, is why this growth? Several reasons suggest themselves. The first and most important is that a new yearning has arisen among American youth in the 1970s and 1980s to understand, and even to help shape, a world and a network of international relationships that offer immensely exciting opportunities on the one hand but terrifying perils on the other. It appears that the education offered in our schools and colleges on our donestic campuses does not respond fully to this yearning to understand in a fashion that is satisfactory to our students. They must see, and feel, for themselves. It is important to note that this explanation for the growth of study abroad rests on the perception of a self-generated demand within our students. No professor tells them to go see the world, nor does a potential employer say they will find a better job if they do so. They have simply concluded themselves that overseas experience is important, and they hit the road.

The second reason for growth of study abroad has been, at least until recently, the increasing strength of the American dollar against foreign currencies. This reduced the real cost of an overseas education during the



1970s and early 1980s. Combined with a rapid escalation of tuition charges and other costs of American colleges and universities, the comparative economic advantage of time spent overseas grew significantly.

Thirdly, by the late 1970s and 1980s one could detect a softening of U.S. cultural attitudes toward foreign lands and unfamiliar peoples. This is reflected in student enthusiasm for study abroad. After the humiliation of the Southeast Asian war and a period of anger at the seeming ingratitude of allies and recipients of postwar aid, America regained its characteristic enthusiasm for foreign experience. Supplementing this softening attitude toward the world by the 1970s were the increased attention paid by the American media to world affairs (from local wars to famines and political change) and the vastly improved ease of international travel. Not only did Paris or Tokyo or Istanbul become relatively familiar to American students from repeated exposure on the television screen, but they were able inexpensively to hop a 747 to all these places at low excursion fares.

A significant factor in the rise to great popularity of study abroad by the late 1970s and 1980s may have been a generational one. The parents of the large waves of students flowing overseas during these years grew to maturity during the early years of American international leadership twenty-five years before. Many served in the military in World War II or the Korean War, and the most devoted internationalists among them had served as Peace Corps volunteers. It was only natural for such parents to encourage their children to follow in their footsteps and experience the world first-hand.

The growth of study abroad on U.S. campuses has not been without some obstacles. While students, parents, and academic administrators have in general been supportive of the movement, some faculty, in particular, have expressed skepticism, and even antagonism toward it. Their opposition to study abroad rests on several grounds: doubts about the academic rigor and respectability of some programs, suspicion about the "non-academic" motives of the program promoters, regret at loss of time spent on the home campus, and a sense that in study abroad control of the academic process may be slipping away from the faculty into the hands of administrators. Study abroad has also become entangled in some of the most heated controversies surrounding educational reform. Questions have been asked about whether a foreign experience neces-



sarily contributes either to a liberal education or to technical training. There have been questions also about the legitimacy of the wide range of choice typically provided to the student overseas.

In the explorations that Michael Nacht and I conducted in 1986 into the evolution of study abroad in American higher education in recent years. we found a remarkably wide range of objectives, explicit and implicit, for these programs. The goals fall roughly into two categories: educational and practical. One program may, of course, set out to achieve one subset of these goals while another will aim at another sub-set, either entirely different, or partly overlapping. Educational and social goals include acquainting a privileged class with an extended range of cultural experience, enlarging the horizons of the intellectual elite, internationalizing an informed citizenry, fulfilling special institutional missions of certain schools, notably church-related ones, exploring the roots of an immigrant culture, rendering fluency in a foreign language through on-site exposure, using the world as a laboratory in subject areas like art history and international relations, knowing ourselves better from a comparative perspective, learning new knowledge and technique from foreigners, and improving international relations and prospects for peace through personto-person contact.

Practical and administrative objectives of study abroad for the institutions we visited included various combinations of the following goals: attraction of larger enrollments, recruitment of the very best students, responsiveness to the entrepreneurial drives of faculty, fulfillment of the consumer demands of students, provision of a break in a long and uninterrupted undergraduate experience, rendering graduates more marketable to employers and graduate schools, improving the institutional "bottom line" by decreasing costs or increasing revenue, opening up inter-institutional linkages of diverse kinds, positive reactions to government inititiatives in foreign affairs, and use of foreign experience as a technique of institutional renewal.

Faced with such a plethora of goals for study abroad, American higher education has developed a wide range of program instruments, many of which are deployed simultaneously by a single institution. Selection among these instruments depends upon different institutional objectives and styles. Categories of study abroad instruments blur into each other at the edges, but can be specified separately here for illustration. The most rigorous mode of study abroad has been called "total immersion" in the



language, culture and educational system of the host country. Students in this category are prepared thoroughly for an overseas educational experience in the mainstream of a foreign nation but they are expected to carry it through with a minimum of assistance from home. This is an elite approach in which learning to overcome the obstacles to be encountered is perceived as one of the positive gains. Overseas education under this model is a privilege not an entitlement.

An alternative approach to depositing students on their own in a foreign mainstream is to assist them in various ways to take advantage of and survive an overseas experience. Such assistance can include special "schools for foreigners" run by the host country, with an American on-site adviser who guides and intervenes on the students' behalf with local authorities and arranges for course credit back home. A third approach is for the American institution to set up its own programs abroad and more or less duplicate its domestic offerings in an exotic environment. American programs abroad may be integrated in varying degrees into the foreign system. At or, a extreme stands a set of exchange arrangements presided over by an American faculty member where students take instruction in local institutions. At the other extreme is the self-contained overseas campus or "enclave" complete with living facilities and videotapes of last week's football game on the campus back home.

In the burgeoning growth of study abroad during recent years a remarkable variety of models for American overseas programs has emerged, departing in many cases markedly from the two polar extremes of mainstreaming and enclaving. All kinds of American institutions are now engaged in study abroad, from community colleges to major research universities, operating programs that vary in length from a few weeks to more than a year, from a conventional curriculum to internships. This is an exciting time of experimentation in study abroad, with innumerable new models appearing just as old ones are declining. This yeasty ferment, while presenting a scene of great confusion also reveals great health and life. If the perfection of academic programs involves ultimately a process of survival of the fittest, that is quite natural. Clearly we are now in a period of vigorous variation, to be followed predictably and appropriately by natural selection.

While the 1970s and 1980s were years of unparalleled growth and experimentation for study abroad programs in America they were also times for serious reflection on hard questions that arose. To some extent



these questions were the reflection of larger issues pervading higher education. But they were no less urgent as a consequence. Most of these questions must be resolved by each institution in light of its own constraints and opportunities. They must be faced openly and candidly.

The first question facing institutions is which model or models to adopt for their own circumstances. Should they conduct programs on their own or contract the responsibility to others? Should they enter cooperative or collaborative endeavors? One partial answer to these questions that seems clear is that much may be gained by an institution from using several program models to achieve several institutional objectives. Just as there is no single program style that is right for all institutions, so there may be no single style that is appropriate for all parts of one institution.

A second fundamental question is how discriminating to make study abroad. Should it be a universal entitlement or should it remain a privilege according to one criterion or another? The most widely defended barrier is an intellectual one. Some academics argue strenuously that only the intellectually-gifted can truly benefit from a foreign experience and can fairly represent their American institution and nation overseas. Others respond to this position by observing that there should be no more reason for rationing overseas experience on intellectual grounds than any other part of higher education. Moreover, evidence suggests that the less gifted do indeed benefit from a carefully-constructed foreign exposure, and some may truly be ignited by the experience.

A second common obstricle is the requirement that those studying abroad have a high level of proficiency in the language of the host country. Such proficiency is considered by some essential to a fruitful overseas experience; this typically is the position of language departments. Others argue that the study abroad experience should not be dominated by the use of language competency and the polishing of language skills, and that for students in fields like engineering, the development of fluency in colloquial of "street" language is of key importance.

Discrimination on the basis of economic, racial, ethnic and other conditions may be more subtle than on intellectual grounds and may lie in the design and operation of the program. For example, year-long study abroad carried out at high net cost in a chateau on the Loire may simply by its essence exclude those with low incomes, a cultural heritage in Africa or Asia, a family to support back home, or a physical handicap that rules out climbing ancient stone stairs. Reduction of discrimination in study



abroad on all grounds may require careful planning and expense; the question certainly requires and deserves the institution's full attention.

The third major question facing institutions about study abroad is how to achieve full and mutually beneficial integration of the programs within and across the campus. Marginality to institutional life is perhaps the greatest threat to study abroad anywhere. Integration needs to be accomplished at two levels. Study abroad should be coordinated carefully with other international activities on campus: foreign student presence, language instruction, area studies, international relations, and other forms of international instruction. In this way American students with their new overseas experience may both benefit from and contribute to the rich international life on their campus. Not to achieve these interconnections is to create in a student's mind the sense that study abroad is a mere appendage unconnected otherwise to the main business of education, and an opportunity for intellectual growth is lost.

The other dimension of institutional integration of study abroad that must be accomplished is within disciplines and professional schools that heretofore have remained aloof. There should be no requirement that all parts of the institution must, indeed, participate. Some like the physical sciences and engineering are traditionally recalcitrant because their scientific culture and lockstep curriculum make any foreign deviation seem impossible. But there are sufficient examples of successful overseas programs in all fields and areas to justify insistence upon an openminded reflection on the opportunities by all departments and schools. Any institution bent upon increasing or perfecting its study abroad programs will face a range of other questions demanding attention. Any checklist should include the following: can greater reciprocity be accomplished with the countries to which Americans typically venture for study abroad, or conversely, can study abroad be arranged in larger proportion to the countries from which come the majority of foreign students in this country? Closely related is the question of whether more satisfactory geographic balance can be achieved. Must the traditional preference for European experience persist, or can attractive Third-World programs be devised to improve the balance?

A variety of practical questions surrounding study abroad include whether cross subsidization is just and appropriate, what is the optimum duration for foreign study, whether foreign students in the United States should themselves be permitted to join American study abroad programs,



whether special programs should be designed for alumni on an extension basis, and how to limit the effects of the growth of undergraduate debt on the selection of the educationally most opportune time for a foreign experience.

Perhaps overriding all the questions surrounding study abroad is the challenge of how to inform the several relevant communities of the excitement and fertile experimentation that pervade the field at the moment. Parents, faculty, legislators, boards of regents, the media, the general public, and the students themselves all need to know that study abroad is no longer just the grand tour for the rich. It can no longer be said "That's very nice for them, but we can't afford it." Today not only are costs within manageable limits in most places but indications increase that study abroad may be the best route for many students to language competence, cultural sophistication, tolerance of difference, and even acquisition of that technological knowledge upon which our prosperity depends.

Craufurd D. Goodwin Duke University



## The Survey

The predominant direction of student mobility in the world today is from the countries of the Third World to the "heat" or "receiving" countries of the West. There are also important outflows from the Western countries, both among themselves and to the rest of the world. Despite the strong interest in the flow of U.S. students overseas, data in this area have been scarce. The Institute of International Education attempted to measure this flow in the past but abandoned the effort due to low response rates. From 1982/83 to 1986/87, the only source of data on student mobility from the United States to other countries was the Unesco Statistical Yearbook.

Last year, IIE resumed its attempt to measure the scale and composition of U.S. student flows by conducting an independent survey of higher education institutions. This survey was conducted in response to a considerable and growing U.S. higher education interest in patterns of study abroad and the significance they have in educational terms, primarily in the education of undergraduates but also in that of graduate students.

While there is a large range of overseas opportunities in which U.S. students participate (study/travel tours, internships, practical training, etc.), the new study abroad survey conducted by IIE focuses specifically on study abroad for academic credit. It is intended to serve those who plan the efficient use of university and college resources, those who design undergraduate curricula and overseas programs, and those who need to understand how many and which kinds of students are obtaining a portion of their education at an institution in a foreign country. The study abroad population in this survey has been narrowly defined as only those students who received academic credit from a U.S. institution after they returned from their study abroad experience. It is not a survey of study



abroad programs to determine the numbers of students enrolled in them and to obtain other information about them. IIE's Academic Year Abroad and Vacation Study Abroad provide detailed information on study programs worldwide for U.S. students. The number of students receiving academic credit is inevitably lower than the totals of those participating in study abroad programs of U.S. institutions and/or enrolled directly in foreign institutions of higher education. To date, no effective data collection strategy has been developed to capture the full picture of all U.S. students abroad. The current effort, although less than complete, offers the first comprehensive analysis of a major sector of the study abroad population.

Before carrying out the survey of U.S. study aproad, IIE engaged in extensive consultation with members of the Interassociational Committee on Data Collection (ICDC) and with administrators in the field of international education with special expertise in the field of study abroad. These discussions resulted in the design of a questionnaire that asked for information on five items: the total number of students at a given institution who received academic credit in the 1985/86 academic year for study abroad; the number of students who studied in particular countries; the number of students in particular major fields of study; the number of students who studied abroad for different periods of time; and the number of males and females who studied abroad. IIE sent survey forms to the same university contacts who provide information on foreign students in the United States (published in Open Doors), with the request that they be passed on, if necessary, to a more appropriate campus official. With the same request, forms were also sent to a part of a list of study abroad coordinators maintained by IIE for its series of publications on study abroad programs.

Surveys were mailed to 2,896 institutions and responses were received from 1,898, for a response rate of 65.5% (Table 1). Of the responding institutions, 709 reported giving credit to a total of 48,483 students in 1985/86 for study in another country (Table 2). Of these students, 87.8% were enrolled in study abroad programs sponsored by U.S. institutions, 6.7% were directly enrolled in foreign schools, and 5.5% were in "other" types of programs (Table 3).



#### TABLE 1

#### Institutions Surveyed and Responses

	Number	Percent of Total
Institutions Surveyed	2,896	
institutions Responding	1,898	65.5
With Study Abroad Students	709	24.5
With No Study Abroad Students	1,189	41 0
No response	998	34.5

#### TABLE 2

#### **Summary of Study Abroad Survey Responses**

Category	Number of Students	% of 48,483 Study Abroad Students
Host Country	46,858	96.6
Field of Study	29,813	61 5
Gender	38,361	79.1
Duration of Study	45,295	93.4
TOTAL	48,483	

#### TABLE 3

#### Program Type of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Program Type	Number	Percent
U.S. Institution Sponsored Program	42,577	87 8
Direct Enrollment in Foreing Institution	3,244	67
Other	2,662	55
TOTAL	48,483	100.0



#### GEOGRAPHIC DESTINATION

#### **World Region**

The vast majority of study abroad students chose to study in Western Europe: 76.8% of them studied there. Europe, as a whole, accounted for 79.6% of the total U.S. study abroad population (**Table 4**). Lagging far behind Europe was Latin America, which was the site of study of 7.0% of the total population, and Asia, which received 5.4% of the total. The Middle East received 4.0% and Africa 1.1%.

#### **Leading Countries**

Because of the concentration of foreign students in one region, Europe, it is most useful to examine the country destinations of American students in terms of the worldwide distribution of the study abroad population. The top 10 countries, presented in **Table 5**, received eight out of ten Americans studying abroad (82.0%). The United Kingdom led the way with 29.3% of all study abroad students, followed by France with 13.7%. The next eight countries were Spain (8.8%), Italy (7.8%), Germany, F.R. (6.1%), Mexico (4.2%), Israel (4.0%), Austria (3.9%), Japan (2.5%), and China (1.7%). All of the top five countries and six of the top ten were in Western Europe, two were in Asia, while the Middle East and Latin America had one each. It is interesting to note that, in some regions, a single country accounted for almost all of the regional total. Israel, for example, had 1,862 of the 1,884 students in the Middle East. Similarly, Mexico was chosen by 4.2% of all study abroad students worldwide, while all of Latin America accounted for only 7.0%.

Outside of the major receiving regions, the student patterns are as follows: in Africa, Kenya was the largest receiver with 252 students, or 0.5% of the overall study abroad population. The leading country in Eastern Europe was the Soviet Union with 535 students, which represents 1.1% of all study abroad students in North America, Canada was the only host country, with 422 students (0.9%), and finally in Oceania, Australia was the regional leader with 217 students (0.5%). Because many students studied in more than one country within a region, the country percentages add up to more than 100%. Percentage calculations are further complicated by the fact that a little over 1% of the European total is reported only as Europe, with no country specified.



#### **TABLE 4**

#### Host Region of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Host Region	Number	Percent	
Africa	538	1.1	
Europe	37,317	79.6	
Latin America	3,289	7.0	
Middle East	1,884	4.0	
North America	422	0.9	
Oceania	408	0.9	
South and East Asia	2,545	5.4	
Multiple Regions	454	10	
TOTAL	46,857	100.0	

#### **TABLE 5**

#### Leading Host Countries of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Host Country	Number	Percent
United King:'>m	13,748	29 3
France	6,401	13.7
Spain	4,103	88
Italy	3,645	7.8
Germany F.R.	2,833	6.1
Mexico	1,963	4.2
Israel	1,862	4.0
Austria	1,817	<b>3</b> .9
Japan	1,162	2.5
China	820	1.7
TOTAL.	38,354	82.0



#### FIELD OF STUDY

TABLE 6

The largest group of the students who went abroad (18.2%), as shown in **Table 6**, majored in liberal arts while in the U.S. followed closely by those studying foreign languages (16.7%). Two other fields of study accounted for over 10% of all study abroad students, social sciences (13.7%), and business and management (10.9%). Therefore, the field ofstudy choices of American students abroad are significantly different from those of foreign students in the U.S. Only 6.7% of Americans abroad majored in engineering, physical and life sciences, and mathematics and computer sciences combined. The corresponding figure for foreign students in the United States is 39.1%.

Field of Study of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Field of Study	Number	Percent
Agriculture	304	1.0
Business and Management	3,240	10.9
Education	1,213	4.1
Engineering	475	1.6
Fine and Applied Arts	2.070	6.9
Foreign Languages	4.961	16.7
Health Sciences	507	1.7
Humanities	2.316	7.8
Liberal Arts	5.420	18.2
Math & Computer Sciences	396	1.3
Physical and Life Sciences	1.145	38
Social Studies	4,081	13.7
Undeclared	1,251	4.2
Other	2,434	8.2

#### **DURATION OF STUDY**

A little over a third of all study abroad students (37.3%) receiving academic credit in the U.S. studied in another country for one semester (**Table 7**). A little over a quarter went abroad only for the summer (28.1%), while nearly one fifth (17.7%) spent the entire academic year in the receiving country. Less than ten percent (7.9%) stayed for a single academic quarter, and a scant 1% spent a full calendar year.



#### TABLE 7

#### Duration of Stay of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Duration	Number	Percent	
Quarter	3,594	7.9	J
Semester	16,889	37.3	
Summer	12,719	28.1	
Academic Year	8,033	17.7	
Calendar Year	494	1.1	
Other	3,484	7.7	
TOTAL	45,213	100.0	

#### **GENDER**

The gender distribution of U.S. students abroad, presented in **Table 8**, was almost exactly the opposite of the profile of foreign students studying in the United States. Just over a third of the study abroad students were male (36.2%), while a corresponding two thirds were female (63.8%). The gender breakdown for foreign students in the U.S. is 68.9% male and 31.1% female.

#### **TABLE 8**

#### Gender of U.S. Study Abroad Students, 1985/86

Gender	Number	Percent	
Male	14,005	36.2	
Female	24,676	63.8	
TOTAL	38,681	100.0	



#### IDIAL\_SIUDY\_&BROAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPORI SOBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD Student count
			CUBBENI
AK	ALASKA FAIRBANKS, UNIV OF	FAIRBANKS	10
AK		TO	TAL: 10
AL	ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, U JUDSON COLL MOBILE COLL MONTEVALLO, UNIV OF OAKWOOD COLL	BIRMINGHAM Marion Mobile Montevallo Huntsville	7 6 2 15
	SAMFORD UNIV	BIRMINGHAM	68
AL		то:	TAL: 99
AR AR	ARKANSAS LITTLE ROCK,U OF ARKANSAS MAIN CAMPUS,U OF ARKANSAS TECH UNIV HARDING UNIV HENDRIX COLL OUACHITA BAPTIST UNIV	FAYETTEVILLE RUSSELLVILLE SEARCY CONWAY ARKADELPHIA	12 43 16 71 14 3
AZ AZ	AMERICN GRAD SCH INTL MGT ARIZONA STATE UNIV ARIZONA, UNIV OF GRAND CANYON COLL PRESCOTT COLL	TEMPE TUCSON PHOENIX PRESCOTT	140 460 692 3 24
		101	INC: 1/314
CA	BIOLA UNIV CAL BERKELEY, UNIV OF CAL COLL ARTS & CRAFTS CAL DAVIS, UNIV OF CAL INST OF ARTS CAL IRVINE, UNIV OF CAL LOS ANGELES, UNIV OF CAL POLY ST U SAN LUIS CB		30 196 8 80 1 51 105 230



#### IQIAL\_SIUQY\_ABBQAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPQBI SQRIED\_BY\_UNIYEPS(IY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CITY

	SOBIED_BY_UNIVERSITY_STATE_AND_CITY		
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME		STUDENT COUNT
	CAL RIVERSIDE, UNIV OF CAL SAN DIEGO, UNIV OF	RIVERSIDE	18
	CAL SAN DIEGO, UNIV OF	CANTA CRUZ	172
	CAL STATE II DOMINGHET ALC	CARCON CAUZ	165
	CAL STATE U DOMINGUEZ HLS	ERECHA	45 15
	CAL STATE II CILL COTON	FILLEDTAN	105
	CAL STATE U FRESNG CAL STATE U FULLERTON CAL STATE U LONG BEACH	LONG REACH	309
	CAL STATE U NORTHRIDGE	NOPTHOTOGS	24
	CAL STATE II SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	53
	CAL STATE II STANTSLAUS	TURLOCK	6
	CHRIST COLL TRVINE	TRVINE	2
	CAL STATE U SACRAMENTO CAL STATE U STANISLAUS CHRIST COLL IRVINE CHURCH DIV SCH OF PACIFIC	BERKELEY	ī
	CITY COLL SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	201
	COMPTON CMTY COLL	COMPTON	12
	COMPTON CMTY COLL DOMINICAN COLL SAN RAFAEL	SAN RAFAEL	18
	FASHION INST DSGN MERCH	LOS ANGELES	5
	FIELDING INST	SANTA BARBARA	3
	FASHION INST DSGN MERCH FIELDING INST FOOTHILL COLL	LOS ALTOS HILLS	212
	FRESNO PACIFIC COLL GLENDALE CMTY COLL	FRESNO	2
	GLENDALE CMTY COLL	GLENDALE	176
	GRADUATE THEOL UNION	SERKELEY	2
	HARVEY MUDD COLL	CLAREMONT	3
	HEBREW UNION C CAL BRANCH	LOS ANGELES	14
	HUMBOLDT STATE UNIV JUDAISM, UNIV OF LA VERNE, UNIV OF	ARCATA	5
	JUDAISM, UNIV OF LA VERNE, UNIV OF	LOS ANGELES	2
	LA VERNE, UNIV OF	LA VERNÉ	39
	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIV	LOS ANGELES	19
	MARYMNT PALOS VERDES COLL		40
	MILLS COLL	OAKLAND	38 15
	MAT OF MADAIC COLL	MUNICHET	10
	OPANGE COAST COLL	COSTA VECA	35
	ORANGE COASI COLL	LOS ANGELES	1
	MONTEREY INST INTL STDIES MT ST MARY'S COLL ORANGE COAST COLL OTIS ART INST OF PARSONS PACIFIC MCGEORGE LAW, U	SACRAMENTO	220
	PACTETC ANTON COLL	ANGHIN	27
	PACIFIC UNION COLL PACIFIC, UNIV OF THE PASADENA CITY COLL POMONA COLL	STOCKTON	76
	PASADENA CITY COLL	PASADENA	44
	POMONA COLL	CLAREMONT	159
	POMONA COLL STATEMENT OF STATEM	REDLANDS	79
	REDLANDS, UNIV OF SAN DIEGO STATE UNIV	SAN DIEGO	40
	SAN FRANCISCO ART INST	SAN FRANCISCO	1
	SAN FRANCISCO THEOL SEM	SAN ANSELMO	2
	SAN JOSE STATE UNIV	SAN JOSE	101
	SANTA BARBARA CITY COLL	SANTA BARBARA	235
	SANTA CLARA UNIV	SANTA CLARA	163
	SANTA MONICA COLL	SAVTA MONICA	78
	SCRIPPS COLL	CLAREMONT	33
	SEQUOIAS, COLI OF THE	VISALIA	15



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_APROAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPOBI SOBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY A	COUNT
			7088791.	
	SIERRA COLL Sonoma State Univ Stanford Univ	ROCKLIN ROHNERT PARK		23
		STANFORD Costa mesa		525
	STHN CAL, UNIV OF	LOS ANGELES		39
	US INTERNATIONAL UNIV	SAN DIESD		1 J 9 2 8
	WHITTIES CO. I	1.4777750		29
	WORLD COLL WEST	PETALUMA		26
	WSTN ST UNIV C LAW SN DGO	SAN DIEGO		10
CA			TOTAL:	4,543
co				
	COLORADO BOULDER, UNIV OF			270
	COLORADO COLL	COLO SPRINGS		138
		FORT COLLINS		68
	DENVER, UNIV OF	DENVER		80
	FORT LEWIS COLL	DURANGO		47
	ILIFF SCH OF THEOLOGY LORETTO HEIGHTS COLL	DENVER DENVER		6
		BOULDER		3 8
	*····	GREELEY		18
	REGIS COLL	DENVER		19
	SOUTHERN COLORADO, U OF ST THOMAS THEOL SEM	PUEBLO	•	31
	ST THOMAS THEOL SEM	DENVER		14
	WESTERN ST COLL COLDRADO	GUNNISON		59
CO			TOTAL:	761
СТ				
	ALBERTUS MAGNUS COLL	NEW HAVEN		٤
		STORRS		213
	FAIRFIELD UNIV	FAIRFIELD WEST HAPTFORD		67
				6
		HARTFORD		108
	YALE UNIV	DANBURY New Haven		38
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	TEN HAVET		6
CT			TOTAL:	446
DC				
	AMERICAN UNIV	*ASHINGTON		345
	CATHOLIC UNIV OF AMERICA	MASHIMGTON		77
	GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIV	WASHINGTON		60
	UTMAKD ONTA	NCTONIHZAW		4



## IDIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPORT SOBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIATE\_AND\_SIIY

STATE		CITY	STUD" ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CUBBENI	
	JHNS HOPKINS SCH ADV INTL	WASHINGTON		71
DC			TOTAL:	557
DE	DELAWARE, UNIV OF	NEWARK		241
DE			TOTAL:	241
FL	FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL U INDIAN RIVER CMTY CGLL MIAMI CHRISTIAN COLL MIAMI, UNIV OF MIAMI-DADE CMTY COLL NORTH FLORIDA, UNIV OF PENSACOLA JR COLL ROLLINS COLL ST LEO COLL ST PETERSBURG JR COLL ST THOMAS UNIV	FORT PIERCE MIAMI CORAL GABLES MIAMI JACKSONVILLE PENSACOLA WINTER PARK SAINT LEO ST PETERSBURG MIAMI DELAND LAKE WALES		136 78 15 12 36 189 4 35 80 1 32 28 43 1
<b>GA</b>	EMORY UNIV GEORGIA COLL GEORGIA SOUTHERN COLL GEORGIA SOUTHWESTERN COLL GEORGIA UNIV OF INTERDENOMINATL THEOL CTR MERCER UNIV STHN SCH PHAR SAVANNAH COLL ART & DSGN WESLEYAN COLL	DECATUR COLUMBUS ATLANTA MILLEDGEVILLE STATESPORO AMERICUS ATHENS ATLANTA ATLANTA SAVANNAH MACON CARROLLTON		30 23 20 18 225 26 24 3 252 4 7 17
G A			TOTAL:	667



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAQ\_SIUDENIS\_REPORT SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

<b></b>	-			
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDEN	T COUNT
HI	MAMATE			
	HAWAII LOA COLL HAWAII PACIFIC COLL	KANESHE Honolulu		2
		40.40.50.50		50
HI			TOTAL:	22
IA				
	BRIAR CLIFF COLL	SIOUX CITY		3
	BUENA VISTA COLL CENTRAL COLLEGE OF IOWA			7
	COE COLL			361
	CORNELL COLL	CEDAR RAPIDS		6
	DIVINE WORD COLL	MOUNT VERNON EPWORTH		53
	DORDT COLL	SIOUX CENTER		6 39
	DRAKE UNIV	DES MOINES		22
	GRACELAND COLL	LAMONI		2
	IOWA STATE UNIV IOWA, UNIV OF	AMES		120
		IO# CITA Dabage		105
	LUTHER COLL	DECODAH		13 65
	MAHARISHI INTERNATL UNIV	FAIRFIELD		8
	MORNINGSIDE COLL Northern Iowa, Univ of	SIOUX CITY		Ž
		CEDAR FALLS Orange city		232
	SIMPSON COLL			3
	ST AMBROSE UNIV	INDIANOLA Davevaca		3 1
	WARTBURG COLL	WAVERLY		28
	WARTBURG THEOLOGICAL SEM			3
	WESTMAR COLL	LE MARS		1
IA			TOTAL:	1,092
ID				
10	IDAHO, UNIV OF			
	IDVIION ONIA ON	MOSCOW		3
ID			TOTAL:	3
••				
IL	AUGUSTANA COLL	8884 881		
	BELLEVILLE AREA COLL	ROCK ISLAND Belleville		102
	BETHANY THEOLOGICAL SEM	DAK BYOOK		7 14
	BLACKBURN COLL	CARLINVILLE		14
	BRADLEY UNIV	PFORIA		9
	CATHOLIC THEOL UNION	CHICAGO		36



#### IOIAL\_SIUDY\_ABROAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPOBI SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CIIY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME		STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CURRENI
	CHICAGO STATE UNIV	CHICAGO	59
	DELLAGE ONTE	CHICAGO	46
		CHARLESTON	6
	CE-1110KO L COCE	ELMHURST	4
	GARRETT-EVANGELCL THEOL	EVANSTON	1
		FREEPORT	1 (2
	ILL AT CHICAGO, UNIV OF	CHICAGO	49
	<del></del>	JACKSONVILLE	7
	100 1101	CHICAGO Normal	326
		BLOOMINGTON	90
	The model in the contract	KANKAKEE	2
	KANKAKEE CITT COOL	GALESBURG	65
		LAKE FOREST	33
		MOCTTAM	53
	LINCOLN LAND CMTY COLL	SPRINGFIELD	19
	LOYOLA UNIV OF CHICAGO	CHICAGO	210
	MENNONITE COLL OF NURSING	BLOOMINGTON	3
	MILLIKIN UNIV	DECATUR	4
	MONMOUTH COLL	MONMOUTH	2
	NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS U		30
		DEKALB	556
	MONITOREDIENT OTTE	EVANSTON	19 83
		ELSAH	2
		CHICAGO	1
	SHIMER COLL STHN ILLINOIS U CARBONDL	CADDONDALE	28
	STHN IELINOIS O CARBONDE	RIVER GROVE	35
	TRITON COLL Waubonsee CMTY COLL	SUGAR GROVE	13
	WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIV	MACOMB	33
		WHEATON	66
IL			TOTAL: 2,325
IN			
	ANDERSON COLL	ANDERSON	3
	BAL' STATE UNIV	MUNCIE	236
	CONCORDIA THEOLOGICAL SEM	FORT WAYNE	3
	DEPAUW UNIV	GREENCASTLE	83
	EARLHAM COLL	RICHMOND	119
		EVANSVILLE	233
	GOSHEN BIBLICAL SEM	ELKHART	1
		GOSHEN	154
	GRACE COLL	WINONA LAKE	6
	HANOVER COLL	HANOVER	18 376
	INDIANA U AT BLOOMINGTON INDIANALORIS, UNIV OF	SECUMENCION	16
	INDIANAPOLIS/ UNIV OF	I PO TANALOET 2	10



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPORT SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_SIATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	
	****		STUDENT COUNT
		MARION Notre damé Jest labayette	34 313
	ST MARY OF THE WOODS COLL ST MARY'S COLL VALPARAISO UNIV	ST MARY WOODS	1 105 85
IN			TAL: 1,860
KS			
	SETHEL COLL CENTRAL BAPTIST THEOL SEM HESSTON COLL	HESSTON	13 3 65
	MCPHERSON COLL	MANHATTAN LAWRENCE MCPHERSON	105 <b>36</b> 4 6
	OTTAWA UNIV-OTTAWA CAMPUS ST MARY COLL TABOR COLL	UTTAWA LEAVENWORTH HILLS30R0	1 2 10
KS		тот	'AL: 569
KY			
	ASBURY THEOLOGICAL SEM CAMPBELLSVILLE COLL CENTRE COLL	DANVILLE	12 12 10 102
	LEXINGTON THEOLOGICAL SEM LOUISVILLE, UNIV OF MOREHEAD STATE UNIV NORTHERN KENTUCKY UNIV	LOUISVILLE	2 95 8
	NORTHERN KENTUCKY UNIV Western Kentucky Univ	HIGHLAND HTS BOWLING GREEN	42
KY		тст	AL: 341
LA			
	DILLARD UNIV LA STATE U BATON ROUGE LA STATE U SHREVEPORT LA TECH UNIV	NEW ORLEANS BATON ROUGE Shreveport	6 120 1
	MCNEESE STATE UNIV NEW ORLEANS BAPT THEO SEM NEW ORLEANS, UNIV OF	RUSTON LAKE CHARLES NEW ORLEANS	36 3 9
	HER OFFERHER ONTA OF	NEW JRLEANS	250



## IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPQBI SQBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AbD\_CIIY

	ARDIB		
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CUBBENI
			74.7
	NICHOLLS STATE UNIV	XUADCEINT	312
	NICHOLLS STATE UNIV NTHWSTN STATE UNIV OF LA OUR LADY HOLY CROSS COLL	NATCHITOCHES	3 2
	OUR LADY HOLY CROSS COLL	NEW ORLEANS	4.50
	TULANE UNIV OF LOUISIANA	NEW ORLEANS	153
LA			TOTAL: 906
MA			
		<b>"ORCESTER</b>	25
		BABSON PARK	3
		BRADFORD	1
		WALTHAM	137
		# BARNSTABLE	32
		WORCESTER	61
		BOSTON	57
		BEVERLY	25
		FITCHBURG	25
	HARVARD UNIV	CAMBRIDGE	173
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	WORCESTER	75
	HOLYOKE CMTY COLL	HOLYOKE	13 558
	MASS AMHERST CAMPUS,U OF	AMHERST	
	MASS INST OF TECHNOLOGY	CAMBRIDGE	29 32
	MOUNT HOLYOKE COLL	SOUTH HADLEY	
	MOUNT IDA COLL	NEWTON CENTRE	٥
	NEW ENG COLL OF OPTOMETRY	NCT208	120
	NORTHEASTERN UNIV NORTHERN ESSEX CMTY COLL	BUSIUM	12
	OUR LADY OF ELMS, COLL OF	CHICOPEE	. 4
	PINE MANOR COLL	CHESTNUT HILL	
	SALEM STATE COLL	SALEM	65
	SMITH COLL	NORTHAMPTON	143
	SPRINGFIELD COLL	SPRINGFIELD	13
	STONEHILL COLL	NORTH EASTON	20
	TUFTS FLTCHR LAWS DIPLMCY		2
	WELLESLEY COLL	MELLESLEY	162
	WESTERN NEW ENGLAND COLL		9
	WILLIAMS COLL	WILLIAMSTOWN	54
	WORCESTER POLY INST	WORCESTER	32
		WORCESTER	1
МА			TOTAL: 1,913
MD		<b></b>	_
		TAKOMA PAPK	3
	DUNDALK EMTY COLL	BALTIMORE	40
	GOUCHER COLL	BALTIMORE	5



## IDIAL\_STUDY\_ABBOAD\_STUDENTS\_REPORT SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE		CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT
			TARREAT :
	HARFORD CMTY COL!	BEL AIR	6
	HARFORD CMTY COLL HOOD COLL	FREDERICK	27
	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV	BALTIMORE	24
	MARYLAND INST COLL OF ART	BALTIMORE	20
	MOUNT ST MARY'S COLL	FMMITSHURG	5
	SALISBURY STATE COLL	SALTSRIPY	3
	ST MARY'S COLL MARYLAND	ST MARY'S CITY	18
	TOWSON STATE UNIV	TOWSON	Š
	MOUNT ST MARY'S COLL SALISBURY STATE COLL ST MARY'S COLL MARYLAND TOWSON STATE UNIV WASHINGTON COLL	CHESTERTOWN	Ģ
MD			TAL: 168
ME			
15	BATES COLL	LEWISTON	86
	MAINE AT FORT KENT, U OF	ECHISION ECOT VENT	2
		GORHAM	55
	STOR PRINCE ONLY OF	GORRAM	,,
ME		тэ	TAL: 143
MI			
	ADRIAN COLL	ADRIAN	5
	ALBION COLL	ALBION	30
	ALMA COLL	ALMA	225
	ANDREWS UNIV	BERRIEN SPRINGS GRAND RAPIDS	10
	CALVIN COLL	GRAND RAPIDS	167
		DETROIT	82
	GRAND RAPIDS BAPT C & SEM		9
	GRAND RAPIDS JR COLL	GRAND RAPIDS	31
	GRAND VALLEY STATE COLL	ALLENDALE	16
	HILLSDALE COLL	HILLSDALE	11
	KALAMAZOO COLL	KALAMAZOO	206
	KENDALL SCH OF DESIGN		
	MICHIGAN-ANN ARBUR, U OF	ANN ARBOR	295
	NORTHERN MICHIGAN UNIV		Ģ
	NORTHWOOD INST	MIDLAND	30
		POCHESTER	30
	SAGINAW VALLEY STATE COLL	UNIV CENTER	6
	ST CLAIR COUNTY CMTY COLL	PORT HURON	24
	ST JOHN'S PROVINCIAL SEM		15
	WAYNE STATE UNIV Western Michigan Univ	DETROIT	98
	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIV	KALAMAZOO	47
MI		Tol	TAL: 1,385



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_A380AD\_SIUDENIS\_REPOBI SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_SIATE\_AND\_CITY

	5XCIFK-51-52FF25FT1-510IF-59		
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CUBBENI =
MN		25	54
		BEMIDJI	31 16
		BRAINERD Northfield	238
	CONCORDIA COLL MOORHEAD		136
	HAMLINE UNIV	SAINT PAUL	44
	AC VIND CHTULUD OF	DULUTH	104
	MINN TECH C-CROOKSTON, U		5
	MINN TWIN CITIES, UNIV OF		574
	NORTH CENTRAL BIBLE COLL		2
	ST CATHERINE, COLL OF	SAINT PAUL	78
	ST CLOUD STATE JNIV	SAINT CLOUD	230
	ST MARY S COLL	WINONA	37
	ST OLAF COLL	NORTHFIELD	376
	ST SCHOLASTICA, COLL OF	DULUTH	19
	ST THOMAS, COLL OF	SAINT PAUL	275
	UNITED THEOLOGICAL SEM	NEW BRIGHTON Winona	1
	WINONA STATE UNIV	WINONA	17
MN		<b>T</b> ^	TAL: 2,163
m A		1.0	71 AL: 27103
MO			
	CENTRAL MISSOURI ST UNIV	WARRENSBURG	15
	COLUMBIA COLL	CCLUMBIA	1
	CROWDER COLL	NEOSHO	5
		CANTON	5
		SPRINGFIELD	5
	EVANGEL COLL	SPRINGFIELD	3
	HANNIBAL-LAGRANGE COLL	HANNIBAL	32
		KANSAS CITY	2
	MISSOURI COLUMBIA, U OF	COLUMBIA	108
	MISSOURI ST LOUIS, U OF	SAINT LOUIS	2 16
	NTHEST MISSOURI STATE U	KIRKSVILLE Point lookojt	5
		KANSAS CITY	
	ROCKHURST COLL ST LOUIS UNIV MAIN CAMPUS		320
	STHEST MISSOURI STATE U	CADE STRARDEAU	25
	STHUST MISSOURI STATE U	SPRINGFIELD	102
		LIBERTY	79
		FULTON	7
	······································	3= 1 + 1	
MO		TO	TAL: 741
MS			
.,,,	BELHAVEN COLL	JACKSON	1
	<del>-</del>		



29

• f

#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABROAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPORI SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_SIAIS\_AND\_CIIY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CURRENI
	MILLSAPS COLL MISSISSIPPI COLL MISSISSIPPI MAIN CAM,U OF SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI,U OF WILLIAM CAREY COLL	JNIVERSITY Hattiesburg	11 1 21 257 48
MS		то	TAL: 339
чт	CARROLL COLL EASTERN MONTANA COLL MONTANA STATE UNIV MONTANA, UNIV OF	HELENA BILLINGS BOZEMAN Missoula	2 3 17 8C
٩T		то	TAL: 102
NC	EAST CAROLINA UNIVELON COLL GARDNER-WEBB COLL GREENSBORO COLL GUILFORD COLL LENOIR-RHYNE COLL MARS HILL COLL MEREDITH COLL NC AT ASHEVILLE, UNIV OF NC AT CHAPEL HILL, U OF NC AT CHAPEL HILL, U OF NC AT GREENSBORO, UNIV OF NC AT WILMINGTON, UNIV OF NC AT WILMINGTON, UNIV OF NC STATE UNIV RALEIGH PEACE COLL STANDREW'S PRESB COLL ST ANDREW'S COLL ST MARY'S COLL STHESTN BAPTIST THE: SEM	DAVIDSON LEXINGTON DURHAM GREENVILLE ELON COLLEGE BOILING SPRINGS GREENSBORD HICKORY MARS HILL RALEIGH ASHEVILLE CHAPEL HILL C	79 82 413 31 90 7 2105 15 169 20 76 41 15 12 66 8 1 17 19 12 120 19
NC		тэ	TAL: 1,325



#### IQTAL\_SIUDY\_ABROAD\_SIUDEMIS\_REPORT SOBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

	****			
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDENT COUNT	
ND				
		MINOT	24	
	ND STATE U MAIN CAMPUS	FARGO	15	
	VALLEY CITY STATE COLL	VALLEY CITY	5	
ND			TOTAL: 41	
NE				
	CHADRON STATE COLL	CHADRON	85	
	DANA COLL	BLAIR	1	
		HASTINGS	10	
	KEARNEY STATE COLL MIDLAND LUTHERAN COLL	KEARNEY	36	
			11	
	NEBRASKA LINCOLN, UNIV OF		93 51	
	NEBRASKA OMAHA, UNIV OF		35	
	WAYNE STATE COLL	WAYNE	35	
NE			TOTAL: 322	
NH				
	KEENE STATE COLL	KEENE	3	
	NEW ENGLAND COLL	HENNIKER	133	
		MANCHESTER	46	
	NEW HAMPSHIRE, UNIV OF	DURHAM	11	
		PLYMOUTH	4	
	ST ANSELM'S COLL	MANCHESTER	23	
VН			TOTAL: 220	
NJ				
	BLOOMFIELD COLL	BLOOMFIELD	•	
		CALDWELL	1	
	CENTENARY COLL	HACKETTSTOWN	6	
		MADISON	58	
	GEORGIAN COURT COLL	LAKENOOD	1	
	GLASSBORD STATE COLL	GLASSBORG	20	
	MIDDLESEX COUNTY COLL	EDISON	<u> </u>	
	RAMAPO COLL OF NEW JERSEY		29	
	RIDER COLL	LAWRENCEVILLE		
		CAMDEN	50	
		NEW SRUNSWICK		
	SETON HALL UNIV	SOUTH ORANGE	4	
		JERSEY CITY	6 15	
	STOCKTON STATE COLL	POMONA	15	



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPORT SORIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME		STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CURRENT
	TRENTON STATE COLL WILLIAM PATERSON COLL		40 20
NJ			TOTAL: 470
NM	NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, U	AL RUCHE POHE	110
	NEW MEXICO HIGHLANDS UNIV NEW MEXICO ST U MAIN	LAS VEGAS	2 10
NY			TOTAL: 122
NV	NEVADA LAS VEGAS, UNIV OF	LAS VEGAS	26
NV			TOTAL: 26
NY	ALFRED UNIV		
		ALFRED Auburn	43
	CAZENOVIA COLL	CAZENOVIA	95 17
	CLARKSON UNIV	CAZENOVIA POTSDAM	11
	CALGATE UNITY	HAMTI TON	206
	COLUMBIA UNIV	NEW YORK	374
	CONCORDIA COLL COOPER UNION	NEW YORK BRONXVILLS	127
	CUNY BERNARD BARUCH COLL		13
	CUNY LEHMAN COLL	3 RONX	19
	CUNY QUEENS COLL	FLUSHING DAKDALE	14
	DOWLING COLL		1
		POUGHKEEPSIE	
	FRIE CMTV COLLECTTY	ELMIRA Buffalo	11 10
	FASHION INST TECHNOLOGY	NEW YORK	150
	FRIENDS WORLD COLL HAMILTON COLL	ACTORITRUH	410
		CLINTON	64
	HARTWICK COLL	ONEONTA	131
	HOBART & WM SMITH COLLS HOFSTRA UNIV	GENEVA Hempstead	137
		HOUGHTON	40 9
	ITHACA COLL	ITHACA	375
	JAMESTOWN CMTY COL!	MECTONAL	7
	JEWISH THEOL SEM AMERICA	NEW YORK	24
		PURCHASE	27
	THAT LUEL	POUGHKEEPSIE	22



. 32

## IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABROAD\_SIUDENIS\_REPORT SORIED\_BY\_UNIYERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CIIY

	27KI	PARTER-GI-ANIAERPILIT PINTE TUND CIII		
STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CURRENI =	
	MARYKNOLL SCH OF THEOLOGY		15	
		TARRYTOWN	224	
	MOHAWK VALLEY CHTY COLL MT ST VINCENT, COLL OF	UTICA	3	
	MT SI VINCENTA COLL OF	RIVERDALE	3 8	
	NEW ROCHELLE, COLL OF NEW YORK UNIV	NEW ROCHELLS	33	
	NEW YORK UNIV NIACARA UNIV	NEW YORK Niagara untv	10	
	NYACK COLL	NYACK	54	
	ROSERTS WESLEYAN COLL	ROCHESTER	8	
		SUFFERN	854	
	RUSSELL SAGE JC OF ALBANY		1	
	SARAH LAWRENCE COLL ST FRANCIS COLL	BRONXVILLE	84	
			12	
	ST JOSEPH'S CULL MAIN CAM		1	
	ST JOSEPH'S SEM & COLL	YONKERS	5	
	ST LAWRENCE UNIV ST ROSE, COLL OF	CANTON	277	
			4	
	SUNY AGRL TECH C COBLESKL	COBLESKILL	7	
	SUNY AT BINGHAMTON Suny at Buffalo	BINGHAMTON	156	
			43	
	SUNY AT STONY BK MAIN CAM SUNY COLL AT BROCKPORT	SIUNY BRUJK	365	
		BUFFALO	285 166	
	SUNY COLL AT CORTLAND	CORTLAND	303	
	SUNY COLL AT FREDONIA	FREDONIA	2	
	SUNY COLL AT NEW PALTZ	NEW PALTZ	201	
	SUNY COLL AT OSWEGO	OSWEGO	186	
	SUNY COLL AT PLATTSBURGH	PLATTSBURGH	97	
	SUNY COLL AT POTSDAM	POTSDAM	59	
	SUNY COLL AT PURCHASE	PURCHASE	6	
	SUNY COLL ENV SCI& FRSTRY	SYRACUSE	35	
	SUNY COLL TECH UTICA/ROME		5	
	SYRACUSE UNIV MAIN CAMPUS		1,224	
	UNION COLL	SCHENECTADY Poughkeepsie	198	
			76	
		STATEN ISLAND	22	
	WELLS COLL	AURORA	22	
	WESTCHESTER CMTY COLL	VALHALLA	68	
	YESHIVA UNIV	NEW YORK	247	
NY		T	OTAL: 7,768	
ОН				
	AKRON MAIN CAMPUS, U OF	AKROV	77	
	ANTIOCH UNIV	YELLOW SPRINGS	88	
	ASHLAND COLL	ASHLAND	10	
	BALDWIN-WALLACE COLL	BEREA	28	



33

11

## IDIAL\_STUDY\_ABRQAD\_STUDENTS\_REPORT SORIER\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME		STUDENT COJYT
			- CUBBENI :
	BOWLING GRN ST J MAIN CAM CAPITAL UNIV CEDARVILLE COLL CENTRAL STATE UNIV DAYTON, UNIV DENISON UNIV HEBREW UNION COLL HIRAM COLL JOHN CARROLL UNIV KENT STATE U MAIN CAMPUS	30WLING GREEN COLUMBUS CEDARVILLE	200 19 15
	DAYTON UNIV	WILBERFORCE Dayton	1 22
	DENISON UNIV HEBREW UNION COLL	GRANVILLE SINCINNATI	142 15
	HIRAM COLL JOHN CARROLL UNIV KENT STATE U MAIN CAMPUS KENYON COLL LAKE ERIE COLL LAKELAND CMTY COLL METHODIST THEOL SCH OHIO MIAMI UNIV OXFORD MOUNT UNION COLL MUSKINGUM COLL OHIO DOMINICAN COLL OHIO STATE U MAIN CAMPUS OHIO WESLEYAN UNIV OTTERBEIN COLL TRINITY LUTHERAN SEM UNITED THEOLOGICAL SEM WALSH COLL WITTENBERG UNIV XAVIFR UNIV	HIRAM	167
	KENT STATE U MAIN CAMPUS	KENT	1 143
	KENYON COLL	GAMBIER	114
	LAKE ERIE COLL	PAINESVILLE MENTOD	70 32
	METHODIST THEOL SCH OHIO	DELANARE	52 4
	MIAMI UNIV OXFORD	OXFORD	423
	MUSKINGUM COLL	NEW CONCORD	1
	OHIO DOMINICAN COLL	COLUMBUS	i
	OHIO STATE U MAIN CAMPUS	COLUMBUS	223
	OTTERBEIN COLL	WESTERVILLE	51 3
	TRINITY LUTHERAN SEM	COLUMBUS	2
	WALSH COLL	DAYTON CANTON	2
	WILMINGTON COLL	WILMINGTON	19 123
	WILMINGTON COLL WITTENBERG UNIV XAVIER UNIV	SPRINGFIELD	123
	AAVIER UNIV	CINCINNATI	21
ОН			TOTAL: 2,J21
OK	CENTRAL STATE HINTY	5 D M O N D	5 o 1 ó
	CENTRAL STATE UNIV OKLA CHRISTIAN COLL	OKLAHOMA CITY	16
		OKLAHOMA CITY	
		TULSA	12 15
ЭK			TOTAL: 125
OR			
<del>•</del> · ·	EASTERN OREGON STATE COLL LEWIS & CLARK COLL	LA GRANDE	14
	LEWIS & CLARK COLL LINFIELD COLL DREGON INST OF TECHNOLOGY	PORTLAND	14 180 56
	DREGON INST OF TECHNOLOGY	WEMINAVILLE KLAMATH FALLS	5 Ü
	OKEGON MAIN CAMPUSA O OF	EUGENE	312
	OPEGON STATE UNIV	CORVALLIS	138



#### IPOPER ZIMEQUIZ GAQREALYGUIZ REPORI YIIZ GMALETATZ YIIZBEYLUULYE GETAGZ

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT CUBBENI
			************
	PACIFIC NTHWST COLL ART		1
		CORTLAND	147
		PORTLAND	39
		PORTLAND	38
	SOUTHERN OREGON ST COLL WSTN EVANGELICAL SEM	ASHLAND	17
	WSTN EVANGELICAL SEM	PORTLAND	6
	WSTN OREGON STATE COLL	HTLOMMON	11
OR		ст	TAL: 957
PA			
	ALBRIGHT COLL	READING Center Valley	7
	ALLENTOWN COLL	CENTER VALLEY	1
	BEAVER COLL	GLENSIDE	1,579
		BRYN MAWR	40
		NECTURE	7
	CABRINI COLL CALIFORNIA UNIV OF PA	RADNOR	1
		CALIFORNIA	19
		PITTSBURG4 Allentown	23 1
		PHILADELPHIA	9
	DELAWARE VLY COLL SCI& AG		7
		CARLISLE	155
	EAST STROUDSBURG UNIV	E STROUDSBURG	20
	ELIZABETHTOWN COLL	ELIZASETHTOWN	16
	EVANGELICAL SCH THEOLOGY GENEVA COLL	MYERSTOWN	1
	GENEVA COLL	BEAVER FALLS	1
	GETTYSBURG COLL	GETTYSBURG HAVERFORD INDIANA	_ 3
	HAVERFORD COLL	HAVERFORD	55
	INDIANA UNIV OF PENN	INDIANA	157
		KUTZTOWN Philadelphia	57 26
		EASTON	53
		ANNVILLE	5
	LEHIGH UNIV	BETHLEHEM	22
	LINCOLN UNIV	LINCOLN UNIV	10
		LOCK HAVEN	47
		WILLIAMSPORT	2
	MESSIAH COLL	GRANTHAM	2
		ALLENTOAN	11
	NEUMANN COLL	ASTON	3
	PENN COLL OF OPTOMETRY	PHILADELPHIA	1
	PENN STATE U-UNIV PK CAM	UNIVERSITY PARK	3 8 d
	PENN, UNIV OF	PHILADELPHIA	115
		LANGHORNE	15
	ROSEMONT COLL	ROSEMONT	Š
	SCRANTON, UNIV OF	SCRANTON	6



#### IDIAL\_SIUDY\_ABROAD\_SIUDENIS\_KEPORI SDEIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CIIY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	STUDY ABROAD Student count
			CABSEPI =
	ST FRANCIS COLL ST JOSEPH'S UNIV ST VINCENT COLL SUSQUEHANNA UNIV TEMPLE UNIV THIEL COLL URSINUS COLL VILLA MARIA COLL WASHINGTN & JEFFERSN COLL WEST CHESTER UNIV WESTMINSTER COLL WESTMINSTER THEOL SEM	SLIPPERY RCCC LORETTO PHILADELPHIA LATROBE SELINSGROVE PHILADELPHIA GREENVILLE COLLEGEVILLE ERIE WASHINGTON WEST CHESTER NEW WILMINGTON PHILADELPHIA CHESTER	132 1 49 3 43 443 2 7 2 4 20 5
PΔ			77AL: 3,61C
PR	PR RIO PIEDRAS, UNIV OF	RIO PIEDRAS	30
PR		TO	TAL: 30
RI	BRYANT COLL PROVIDENCE COLL RHODE ISLAND SCH DESIGN RHODE ISLAND, UNIV OF		17 43 37 41
RI		TO	TAL: 135
sc	COKER COLL	CLEMSON MARTSVILLE COLUMBIA SPARTANBURG FLORENCE COLUMBIA ROCK HILL SPARTANBUPG	119 1 3 e3 2 101 10
s c		ст	TAL: 314
SD	SIDUX FALLS COLL	SIOUX FALLS	2



## IDIAL\_STUDY\_ABROAD\_STUDENTS\_REPORT SOBTED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_STATE\_AND\_CTY

STATE UNIVERSITY NAME CI		CITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT	
			CUBSEMI	
SD		ст	TAL: 2	
TN				
	AUSTIN PEAY STATE UNIV		5	
		NASHVILLE	19	
		JEFFERSON CITY		
	DAVID LIPSCOMB COLL EAST TENN STATE UNIV	ASHVILLE Johnson City	1 78	
	KING COLL	BRISTOL	7 o 3 6	
		MARYVILLE	3	
		MILLIGAN COLL	2	
		MEMPHIS	150	
	TENN KNOXVILLE, UNIV OF	KNOXVILLE	61	
	TENN MARTIN, UNIV OF	MARTIN	27	
	TENN WESLEYAN COLL	ATHENS	2	
	TUSCULUM COLL	GREENEVILLE	2	
	VANDERSILT UNIV	NASHVILLE	216	
TN		то	TAL: 627	
ТX				
	ABILENE CHRISTIAN UNIV	APILENE	11	
	BAYLOR UNIV	WACO	132	
	DALLAS, UNIV OF	IRVING	179	
	HOUSTON BAPTIST UNIV		14	
	HOUSTON CLEAR LAKE CITY,U		35	
	LAMAR UNIV Midwestern State Univ	BEAUMONT Wichita Falls	70 8	
		DENTON	60	
		SAN ANTONIO	1	
		HOUSTON	43	
			414	
	SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIV Scuthwest texas state u	SAN MARCOS	136	
	SCUTHWESTERN ADVATST COLL	KEENE	3	
	SUUTHWESTERN ASSEMB GOD C		3	
		GEORGETOWN	53	
		AUSTIN	2	
	ST MARY'S UNIV	SAN ANTONIO	6 28	
	TEV ACM UNITY MAIN CAMPUS	HOUSTON		
	TEX A&M UNIV MAIN CAMPUS TEX AUSTIN, UNIV OF	AUSTIN	164	
	TEY CHRISTON UNTO	FORT WORTH	30	
	TEX EL PASO, UNIV OF	EL PASO	6	
	TEX HLTH SCI CTR HOUSTNAU	HOUSTON	19	
	TEX TECH UNIV	LU630CK	48	



#### IZIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPQBI SQBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CIIY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	LITY	STUDY ABROAD STUDENT COUNT
			CUBBENI -
	TEX WESLEYAN COLL Trinity Univ West Texas State Univ	FORT WORTH San Antonio Canyon	44 55 35
Τx		ct	TAL: 1,919
υT			
•	SNOW COLL	FPHRATM	8
	SNOW COLL Utah State Univ	LOGAN	43
υT			TAL: 51
VA			
	BLUEFIELD COLL BRIDGEWATER COLL EASTERN MENNONITE COLL GEORGE MASON UNIV	BLUEFIELD	2
	BRIDGEWATER COLL	SRIDGEWATER	11
	EASTERN MENNONITE COLL	HARRISONBURG	104
	GEORGE MASON UNIV	FAIRFAX	23
	JAMES MADISON HATV	HULLING COLLEGE	60
	LONGWOOD COLL	FARMVILLE	98
	MARY BALDWIN COLL	STAUNTON	19 11
	HOLLINS COLL JAMES MADISON UNIV LONGWOOD COLL MARY BALDWIN COLL MARY WASHINGTON COLL MARYMOUNT UNIV	FREDERICKSBURG	8
	MARYMOUNT UNIV	ARLINGTON	3
	OLD DOMINION UNIV RADFORD UNIV RANDOLPH-MACON WOMAN'S C RICHMOND, UNIV OF	NORFOLK	9
	RANDOLPH-MACON JOMAN'S C	L ANCHEIDE	14
	RICHOND, UNIV OF	U OF RICHMOND	47 55
	SWEET BRIAR COLL	SWEET BRIAR	145
	VIRGINIA MAIN CAMPUS,U OF	CHARLOTTESVILLE	191
	ATKCIUTH WIFTINKI IMPI	LEXINGION	10
	VIRGINIA POLY INST & ST U VIRGINIA UNION UNIV		138
	VIRGINIA WESLEYAN COLL	RICHMOND	1
	WILLIAM & MAILIAN	WILLIAMSBURG	1
		***************************************	150
VA		тст	'AL: 1,110
VT			
	BENNINGTON COLL	BENNINGTON	**
	GODDARD COLL	PLAINFIELD	33 1
	JOHNSON STATE COLL	JOHNSON	6
	MARLBORD COLL	MARLBORD	2
	MIDDLESURY COLL	MIDDLEBURY	371
	SOUTHERN VERMONT COLL TRINITY COLL	SENNINGTON	4
	1749411 6066	EURLINGTON	3



## IQIAL\_SIUDY\_A3EQAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPORI SOBIED\_BY\_UNIVERSITY\_SIATE\_AND\_CITY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME	CITY	DAOREA YDUTS Truco traduts 	
	VERMONT, UNIV OF	BURLINGTON	24	5
VT			TOTAL: 56	,5
4A				
	CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIV		_	25
	CLARK COLL	VANCOUVER		2
	EASTERN WASHINGTON UNIV	CHENEY	-	31 4
	EVERGREEN STATE COLL	OLYMPIA Spokane	10	
	GONZAGA UNIV	TACOMA	9	
	PACIFIC LUTHERAN UNIV PUGET SOUND, UNIV OF	TACOMA	•	14
	SEATTLE UNIV	SEATTLE	•	37
	SKAGIT VALLEY COLL	MOUNT VERNON		3
	SPOKANE FALLS CHTY COLL	SPOKANE		10
	WALLA WALLA COLL	COLLEGE PLACE		
	WASHINGTON STATE UNIV		13	-
	WASHINGTON, UNIV OF	SEATTLE	27	_
	WESTERN WASHINGTON UNIV	BELLINGHAM	1 8	
	WHITMAN COLL Whitworth Coll	WALLA WALLA Spokane		3 9
w A			TOTAL: 1,03	39
WI				
₩ 1	BELOIT COLL	3 ELO IT	5	5 2
	CARDINAL STRITCH COLL	MILWAUKEE		1
	CARTHAGE COLL	KENOSHA		16
	LAWRENCE UNIV	APPLETON	16	
	MARQUETTE UNIV	MILWAUKEE		25
	RIPON COLL	RIPON		39 35
	ST NORBERT COLL	DE PERE	21	
	WISCONSIN EAU CLAIRE, U OF	LA CROSSE		33
	WISCONSIN LA CROSSE, U OF WISCONSIN MADISON, U OF	MADISON	•	57
	WISCONSIN MILWAJKEE, U OF			32
	WISCONSIN PLATTEVL, U OF	PLATTEVILLE	4	
	WISCONSIN RIVER FLS. U OF	RIVER FALLS		48
	WISCONSIN STEVNS PNT,U OF	STEVENS POINT		
	WISCONSIN STOUT, UNIV OF	MENOMONIE		48
	WISCONSIN WHITEWATER/U OF	WHITEWATER	:	35
#I			TOTAL: 2,03	33



#### IQIAL\_SIUDY\_ABRQAD\_SIUDENIS\_BEPQRI SQSIED\_BY\_UNIVERSIIY\_SIAIE\_AND\_CIIY

STATE	UNIVERSITY NAME			T COUNT	
			CUBBENI	-	
4 V					
	MARSHALL UNIV West va univ	HUNTINGTON MORGANTOWN	3 148		
٩V		то	TAL: 151		
₩Y	WYOMING, UNIV OF	LARAMIE	13		
#Y		ro	TAL: 13		
		GRAND TO	TAL: 48,383		
		END OF REPORT			



#### **IIE RESEARCH SERIES**

Readers of this IIE Research Report may be interested in earlier titles in the series. They are available through the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) Clearinghouse on Higher Education, One Dupont Circle, NW, 630, Washington DC 20036-1183.

## Report #1 ABSENCE OF DECISION:

Foreign Students in American Colleges and Universities
Craufurd D. Goodwin
Michael Nacht

## Report #2 BLACK EDUCATION IN SOUTH AFRICA:

The Current Situation David Smock

### Report #3 A SURVEY OF POLICY CHANGES:

Foreign Students in Public Institutions of Higher Education Elinor G. Barber

## Report #4 THE ITT INTERNATIONAL FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM:

An Assessment After Ten Years Marianthi Zikopoulos Elinor G. Barber

#### Report #6

#### INTERNATIONAL EXPERTISE IN AMERICAN BUSINESS:

How to Learn to Play with the Kids on the Street Stephen J. Kobrin

## Report #7 FOREIGN STUDENT FLOWS:

Their Significance for American Higher Education Elinor G. Barber, Editor

### Report #8 A SURVEY OF POLICY CHANGES:

16

Foreign Students in Public Institutions of Higher Education 1983-1985 William Mc Cann Jr.



## Report #9 DECLINE AND RENEWAL:

Causes and Cures of Decay Among Foreign-Trained Intellectuals and
Professionals in the Third World
Craufurd D. Goodwin
MIchael Nacht

Report #10

**CHOOSING SCHOOLS FROM AFAR:** 

The Selection of Colleges and Universities in the United States By Foreign
Students
Marianthi Zikopoulos
Elinor G. Barber

Report #11
THE ECONOMICS OF FOREIGN STUDENTS

Stephen P. Dresch

Report #12
THE FOREIGN STUDENT FACTOR:

Their Impact on American Higher Education Lewis C. Solmon Betty J. Young

Report #13
INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OFF- CAMPUS:

Foreign Students and Local Communities
Mark 9aldassare
Cheryl Katz

Report #14
MENTORS AND SUPERVISORS

Doctoral Advising of Foreign and U.S. Graduate Students Nathalie Friedman

Report # 15
BOON OR BANE:

The Impact of Foreign Graduate Students in U.S. Engineering Programs
Elinor G. Barber
Robert P. Morgan



Available Spring 1988 from Cambridge University Press:

#### ABROAD AND BEYOND

Craufurd Goodwin and Michael Nacht

In ABROAD AND BEYOND Professors Goodwin and Nacht present the only examination to date of the exploding American interest in study abroad. Research for this report was conducted at the request of the Institute of International Education.

ABROAD AND BEYOND discusses issues that study abroad presents to U.S. higher education - and the consequent policy decisions colleges and universities face. The authors are especially concerned with changing aspects of the field such as the movement to integrate international study with graduate professional education. Bringing a fresh perspective to the subject, the authors make recommendations useful to campus leadership. ABROAD AND BEYOND will be of value to deans, provosts, presidents, legislators, foundations, officers, administrators, and faculty concerned with international study.

TO ORDER complete this form and mail to:

Cambridge University Press

510 North Avenue

New Roc	helle, Nev Yor	k 10801				
Please se and price	end me c preferred:	op(ies) of A	BROAD AI	ND BEYO	ND. Chec'; bindii	ng
0-!	521-35463-3 521-35742-X	Cloth Paper	\$22.95 e \$ 7.95 e	each each		
NY and C outside N	CA Residents p IY State 800-8	lease add a 72-7423, in	ppropriate NY State 8	sales tax. 300-227-0	Order toll free: 247.	
Name						
	e, Zip					
					Mastercard	
Account r	number				Expiration date	
Signature						

